arXiv:1404.2847v4 [math-ph] 28 Sep 2015

Concircular tensors in Spaces of Constant Curvature: With Applications to Orthogonal Separation of The Hamilton-Jacobi Equation

Krishan Rajaratnam*, Raymond G. McLenaghan†
Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Canada

September 30, 2015

Abstract

We study concircular tensors in spaces of constant curvature and then apply the results obtained to the problem of the orthogonal separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation on these spaces. Any coordinates which separate the geodesic Hamilton-Jacobi equation are called separable. Specifically for spaces of constant curvature, we obtain canonical forms of concircular tensors modulo the action of the isometry group, we obtain the separable coordinates induced by irreducible concircular tensors, and we obtain warped products adapted to reducible concircular tensors. Using these results, we show how to enumerate the isometrically inequivalent orthogonal separable coordinates, construct the transformation from separable to Cartesian coordinates, and execute the Benenti-Eisenhart-Kalnins-Miller (BEKM) separation algorithm for separating natural Hamilton-Jacobi equations.

*e-mail: k2rajara@uwaterloo.ca
†e-mail: rgmclenaghan@uwaterloo.ca

1 Introduction

It is shown in [RM14b] that any point-wise diagonalizable concircular tensor, hereafter called a *orthogonal concircular tensor (OCT)*, can be used to recursively construct separable coordinates for the (geodesic) Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Such coordinates are called Kalnins-Eisenhart-Miller (KEM) coordinates. In [RM14a] it is shown that all orthogonal separable coordinates for the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in spaces of constant curvature are KEM coordinates. The work done in [RM14a] serves as an independent verification of the Kalnins-Miller classification of separable coordinates for Riemannian spaces of constant curvature [Kal86]. Hence the classification of OCTs in spaces of constant curvature is crucial for classifying orthogonal separable coordinates in these spaces.

Specifically, OCTs have the following uses:

1. An algebraic classification of these tensors modulo the action of the isometry group can be used to obtain a notion of inequivalence for KEM coordinate systems.

2. Crampin [Cra03] shows that one can obtain transformations to separable coordinates for OCTs with functionally independent eigenfunctions. It's evident from the results in [RM14b; RM14a] that a knowledge of the warped product decompositions of the space is sufficient to construct transformations to separable coordinates for any KEM coordinate system. We will expand on this idea later.

3. When concircular tensors have simple eigenfunctions, it is shown in [Ben05] (see also [Ben92a; Ben93; Ben04]) that a basis for the Killing-Stackel space can be obtained. Using the theory presented in [RM14b] one can generalize this result to arbitrary KEM coordinate systems.

4. With a classification of concircular tensors, the BEKM separation algorithm (presented in [RM14b]), can be executed to solve the separation of variables problem for natural Hamiltonians.

Thus an unsolved problem is to obtain a complete classification of these tensors in spaces of constant curvature. A partial classification of these tensors in Euclidean space can be found in [Lun03] (cf. [Ben05]). A complete classification of these tensors for Euclidean space and the Euclidean sphere is implicit in [WW03].

Building on existing knowledge in [Lun03; Cra03] together with new insights [RM14b], in this article we obtain a complete (local) classification of orthogonal concircular tensors in all spaces of constant curvature with Euclidean and Lorentzian signature[1]. More details on our classification and the way in which it is done is given in Section 2.4, after we have introduced some preliminaries. Some of our results are also summarized in Section 2.4.

[1] The classification for other signatures can be obtained fairly easily if one wishes.

Different parts of this problem have been solved for special cases by different researchers over the past few decades. A classification of separable coordinate systems in Riemannian spaces of constant curvature was originally done by Kalnins and Miller in [KM86; KM82], see also [Kal86] which is a book containing their results. The insight provided by their classification was crucial for the development of the theory which we present here. They have extended this work to spaces of constant curvature with arbitrary signature in [KMR84] to obtain a partial classification. In [Kal75] orthogonal separable coordinates in two dimensional Minkowski space are determined and partial results in three dimensional Minkowski space are given. A more detailed classification in two dimensions is given in [MS02a], and in three dimensions in [KM76]. This classification in three dimensions is further refined in [Hin98] and [HM08]. A classification of orthogonal separable coordinates for four dimensional Minkowski space has been given in [KM78] and references therein. Classifications of isometrically inequivalent Killing tensors in two dimensional flat spaces are given in [MS02b], [MST04] and [CDM06], that in three dimensional Minkowski space in [HMS09], and that on the Euclidean three sphere in [CMS11]. Finally, building on results in [Kal86], a version of the BEKM separation algorithm is given in [WW03] for Euclidean space and the Euclidean sphere.

Our approach to this problem has several advantages over previous approaches. First we are able to give a unified theory applicable to spaces of constant curvature with both Euclidean and Lorentzian signatures. This approach allows one to solve the different but related problems listed above. We are able to give a precise notion of inequivalence for orthogonal separable coordinate systems in Minkowski space and thereby give a clear, rigorous and complete classification in this space.

2 Preliminaries and Summary

2.1 Notations and Conventions

All differentiable structures are assumed to be smooth (class C^∞). Let M be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of dimension n equipped with covariant metric g. Unless specified otherwise, it is assumed that $n \geq 2$. The contravariant metric is usually denoted by G and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ plays the role of the covariant and contravariant metric depending on the arguments. We denote $S^p(M)$ as the set of symmetric contravariant tensor fields of valence p on M. Furthermore $\mathcal{F}(M) = S^0(M)$ is the set of functions from M to $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{X}(M) = S^1(M)$ denotes the set of vector fields over M. If $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ then $\nabla f \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ denotes the gradient of f, i.e. the vector field metrically equivalent to $\mathrm{d}f$. Also if $x \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ then we denote $x^2 := \langle x, x \rangle$.

Throughout this article we will be working in pseudo-Euclidean space, which is defined as follows. An n-dimensional vector space V equipped with metric g of signature[2] ν is denoted by $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ and called *pseudo-Euclidean space*. We obtain Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n$ in the special case where $\nu = 0$. Also Minkowski space M^n is obtained by taking $\nu = 1$.

[2]The signature is equal to the number of negative diagonal entries in a basis which diagonalizes g.

Also note that since $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ is a vector space, for any $p \in \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ we identify vectors in $T_p\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ with points in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$.

Given an open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by $U(\kappa)$ the *central hyperquadric* of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ contained in U, which is defined by:

$$U(\kappa) = \{p \in U \mid \langle p, p\rangle = \kappa^{-1}\}$$

Usually $U = \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ and this is denoted $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. It is well known that $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of dimension $n-1$ with signature $\nu + \frac{(\operatorname{sgn}\kappa - 1)}{2}$ and constant curvature κ (see [O'N83] or [Raj14, Appendix D]). We often refer to these manifolds as the spherical submanifolds of pseudo-Euclidean space (see [Raj14, Appendix D] for the definition of a spherical submanifold). We use the connected components of these manifolds as the standard models of the corresponding space of constant curvature. Since $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa) \subset \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, for any $p \in \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ we identify vectors in $T_p\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ with points in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$.

For the following discussion, suppose V is a pseudo-Euclidean vector space. Without further specification, *tensor* is short for a valence 2-tensor and the type depends on the context. Let T be an endomorphism of V. A subspace D is called *T-invariant* if $TD \subseteq D$. T is said to have a *simple eigenvalue* λ, if λ is real and has algebraic multiplicity equal to 1. T is said to have *simple eigenvalues* if all its eigenvalues are simple. T is called self-adjoint if

$$\langle Tx, y\rangle = \langle x, Ty\rangle \qquad \text{for all } x, y \in V$$

The above condition is equivalent to requiring T to be metrically equivalent to a symmetric contravariant tensor. By an *orthogonal tensor*, we mean a symmetric contravariant tensor whose uniquely determined endomorphism is diagonalizable with real eigenvalues. One can check that the eigenspaces of such an endomorphism are necessarily pair-wise orthogonal non-degenerate subspaces. Finally given a subspace $W \leq V$, the restriction of T to W is denoted $T|_W$.

All the above notions generalize point-wise to a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Although only locally. For example given a self-adjoint $\binom{1}{1}$-tensor T on M, we say it is an *orthogonal tensor* if it is point-wise diagonalizable on some (non-empty) open subset of M and we tacitly work on this subset. Similarly we say T is not an orthogonal tensor on M if T is not point-wise diagonalizable on a open dense subset of M. Similar definitions apply to other notions such as constancy of functions on M.

2.2 Self-adjoint operators in pseudo-Euclidean space

In this section we review the metric-Jordan canonical form of a self-adjoint operator on a pseudo-Euclidean space. The details of the theory behind this canonical form is given in [Raj14, Appendix C]; these are solutions to exercises 18-19 in [O'N83, P. 260-261].

A *Jordan block* of dimension k with eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a $k \times k$ matrix denoted by

$J_k(\lambda)$, and defined as:

$$J_k(\lambda) := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & & \\ & \lambda & \ddots & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & 1 & \\ & & & \lambda & 1 \\ & 0 & & & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

The *skew-diagonal matrix* of dimension k is denoted by S_k, and defined as:

$$S_k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & 1 \\ & \iddots & \\ 1 & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

An ordered sequence of vectors $\beta = \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ where the matrix representation of g with respect to (w.r.t) β has the form $g|_\beta = \varepsilon S_k$, is called a *skew-normal sequence* of (length k) and (sign $\varepsilon = \pm 1$). The subspace spanned by a skew-normal sequence is necessarily non-degenerate and of dimension k (see [Raj14, lemma 8.1.1]).

In order to express the metric-Jordan canonical form of a self-adjoint operator on a pseudo-Euclidean space [Raj14, Appendix C], we use the signed integer $\varepsilon k \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Then the notation $J_{\varepsilon k}(\lambda)$ is short hand for the pair:

$$A = J_k(\lambda) \qquad\qquad g = \varepsilon S_k$$

Furthermore, given matrices A_1 and A_2, we denote the following block diagonal matrix by $A_1 \oplus A_2$

$$A_1 \oplus A_2 := \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The (real) metric-Jordan canonical form of a self-adjoint operator is discussed in detail in [Raj14, Appendix C]. In this article (for convenience) we will be working with the complex version (it can be deduced from [Raj14, theorem C.3.7]), which is given as follows:

Theorem 2.1 (Complex metric-Jordan canonical form [O'N83])
A real operator T on a pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ is self-adjoint iff there exists a (possibly complex) basis β such that

$$T|_\beta = J_{\varepsilon_1 k_1}(\lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{\varepsilon_l k_l}(\lambda_l)$$

Furthermore there exists a canonical basis such that the unordered list $\{J_{\varepsilon_1 k_1}(\lambda_1), \ldots, J_{\varepsilon_l k_l}(\lambda_l)\}$ is uniquely determined by T and an invariant of T under the action of the orthogonal group $O(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$. □

Remark 2.2
Since T is real, each Jordan block $J_{\varepsilon k}(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$ comes with a complex conjugate pair $J_{\varepsilon k}(\overline{\lambda})$. For complex eigenvalues, we can additionally assume that $\varepsilon = 1$. □

A key fact used to derive the above canonical form and one to keep in mind is that for any self-adjoint operator T, any non-degenerate T-invariant subspace has a T-invariant orthogonal complement.

2.3 Concircular tensors

$L \in S^p(M)$ is called a *concircular tensor also called a C-tensor (CT)* of valence p if there exists $C \in S^{p-1}(M)$ (called the *conformal factor*) such that

$$\nabla_x L = C \odot x \tag{2.7}$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Concircular tensors of arbitrary valence were originally defined in [Cra08], where they were called special conformal Killing tensors. This is because concircular tensors are conformal Killing tensors [Cra08]. When $p = 1$, L is called a *concircular vector (CV)*. When $p = 2$, we will simply call L a concircular tensor since we will mainly be working with these objects. Furthermore one should note that the CTs form a real vector space and the symmetric product of CTs is again a CT. Sometimes we denote the space of concircular tensors of valence p by $\mathrm{C}^p(M)$ and the subspace of covariantly constant tensors by $\mathrm{C}^p_0(M)$.

An *OCT* (also called an OC-tensor) is a concircular tensor which is also an orthogonal tensor. OC-tensors with simple eigenfunctions were studied extensively by Benenti, see [Ben92a; Ben04; Ben05]; thus in recognition of his contributions we refer to this special class of OC-tensors as *Benenti tensors* (also called L-tensors by Benenti).

OC-tensors have some useful properties. First, given a tensor L, let N_L be the Nijenhuis tensor (torsion) of L [GVY08]. We say that L is *torsionless* if its Nijenhuis tensor vanishes. Then if L is a concircular tensor, the following equations hold [Ben05, Lemma 3.1] (cf. [Cra03])

$$\begin{aligned} [L, G] &= -2\nabla \operatorname{tr}(L) \odot G \quad ([L, G]_{abc} = -2\nabla_{(a} L_{bc)}) \\ N_L &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, by Theorem 19.3 in [Ben05], an orthogonal tensor satisfying the above equations is a C-tensor. The first of the above equations tells us that a C-tensor is a conformal Killing tensor of trace-type. The second equation can be interpreted if we assume L is an OC-tensor.

Suppose now that L is an OC-tensor with eigenspaces $(E_i)_{i=1}^k$ and corresponding eigenfunctions $\lambda^1, ..., \lambda^k$. Since an OC-tensor has Nijenhuis torsion zero, by Theorem 13.29 (Haantjes theorem) in [GVY08], the eigenspaces $(E_i)_{i=1}^k$ are orthogonally integrable and each eigenfunction λ^i depends only on E_i. Furthermore the trace-type condition implies that the eigenfunction corresponding to a multidimensional eigenspace of L is a constant [RM14b].

Suppose D is a multidimensional eigenspace of a non-trivial[3] OCT L. Denote by $D^\perp$ the distribution orthogonal to D. Then one can show that (see [RM14b, Theorem 6.1] for example):

- There is a local product manifold $B \times F$ of Riemannian manifolds (B, g_B) and (F, g_F) such that:
  $\{p\} \times F$ is an integral manifold of D for any $p \in B$ and
  $B \times \{q\}$ is an integral manifold of $D^\perp$ for any $q \in F$.
- $B \times F$ equipped with the metric $\pi_B^* g_B + \rho^2 \pi_F^* g_F$ for a specific function $\rho : B \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is locally isometric to (M, g); where π_B (resp. π_F) is the canonical projection onto B (resp. F).

Such a product manifold is called a *warped product* and is denoted $B \times_\rho F$. We also say in this case that the warped product $B \times_\rho F$ is *adapted* to the splitting $(D^\perp, D)$. The manifold F is a *spherical submanifold* and B is *geodesic submanifold* of M (see [Raj14, Appendix D] and references therein). An important observation is that L restricted to B is an OCT; we will use this later to construct OCTs from Benenti tensors.

In general if L has multiple multidimensional eigenspaces, we will have to consider more general warped products. So suppose $M = \prod_{i=0}^k M_i$ is a product manifold of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (M_i, g_i) where $\dim M_i > 0$ for $i > 0$. Equip M with the metric $g = \sum_{i=0}^k \rho_i^2 \pi_i^* g_i$ where $\rho_i : M_0 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ are functions with $\rho_0 \equiv 1$ and $\pi_i : M \to M_i$ are the canonical projection maps. Additionally we assume either $\dim M_0 > 0$ or $k > 1$. Then (M, g) is called a *warped product* and the metric g is called a *warped product metric*. If $\dim M_0 = 0$ then (M, g) is called a *pseudo-Riemannian product*. The warped product is denoted by $M_0 \times_{\rho_1} M_1 \times \cdots \times_{\rho_k} M_k$. M_0 is called the geodesic factor of the warped product and the M_i for $i > 0$ are called spherical factors. See [Raj14] and references therein for more on warped products.

The following class of OCTs are fundamental to the classification:

Definition 2.3 (Irreducible concircular tensors)
An OC-tensor with functionally independent eigenfunctions is referred to as an *irreducible concircular tensor (ICT)* or more succinctly an *IC-tensor*. To be precise, an IC-tensor has real eigenfunctions $u^1, ..., u^k$ (counted without multiplicity) satisfying:

$$\mathrm{d}u^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}u^k \neq 0$$

Furthermore an OC-tensor which is not irreducible is called *reducible*. □

Remark 2.4
IC-tensors were the class of C-tensors mainly studied in [Cra03]. □

[3] By a non-trivial concircular tensor, we mean one which is not a multiple of the metric when $n > 1$.

Since we observed earlier that the eigenfunction associated with a multidimensional eigenspace of an OCT is constant, it follows that an ICT must have simple eigenfunctions, hence ICTs are Benenti tensors. The special property that ICTs have is that their eigenfunctions can be used as (local) coordinates for the separable web they induce [Cra03]. We will refer to these coordinates as the *canonical coordinates* induced by these tensors.

Away from singular points, locally, we can assume a reducible OC-tensor has eigenfunctions $u^1, ..., u^k$ which are functionally independent and the rest of which are constants. Indeed, for the remainder of this article, this is what we will mean by a reducible OC-tensor. More generally we say a CT is *reducible* if it admits a non-degenerate eigenspace with constant eigenfunction. We will outline in Section 2.4 how we will break down the classification in terms of irreducible and reducible OCTs.

2.3.1 Properties of OCTs

We will now list some properties of OCTs that will be used later. The following proposition gives a necessary and sufficient (n.s.s) condition to determine when two OCTs (one of which is not covariantly constant) share the same eigenspaces.

Proposition 2.5
Suppose M is a connected manifold and L is an OCT on M which is not covariantly constant (around any neighborhood). Then $\tilde{L}$ is a CT sharing the same eigenspaces as L iff there exists $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\tilde{L} = aL + bG \qquad \diamondsuit$$

Proof The proof of this, which is a straightforward calculation, will appear else where. ■

The above proposition no longer holds if we relax the assumption that L is not covariantly constant. One can easily see why by considering any non-trivial covariantly constant symmetric tensor in Euclidean space. We now define an important notion for classifying KEM webs.

Definition 2.6 (Geometric Equivalence of CTs)
We say two CTs L and $\tilde{L}$ are *geometrically equivalent* if there exists $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T \in I(M)$ such that

$$\tilde{L} = aT_*L + bG \qquad \square$$

An immediate corollary of the above proposition is the following:

Corollary 2.7 (Geometric Equivalence of OCTs)
Suppose M is a connected manifold. Suppose L and $\tilde{L}$ are OCTs with respective eigenspaces $\mathcal{E} = (E_1, \ldots, E_k)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = (\tilde{E}_1, \ldots, \tilde{E}_k)$. Suppose further that $\mathcal{E}$ is not a Riemannian product net [RM14b], equivalently one of the CTs is not covariantly constant. Then $\mathcal{E}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ are related by $T \in I(M)$, i.e. $\tilde{E}_i = T_*E_{\sigma(i)}$ for each i (where σ is a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$) iff L and $\tilde{L}$ are geometrically equivalent. $\square$

The above corollary implies that the classification of isometrically inequivalent KEM webs can be reduced to the classification of geometrically inequivalent OCTs. For the proof of the following theorem, see [TCS05; Cra07].

Theorem 2.8 (The Vector Space of Concircular tensors [TCS05])
If $n > 1$, then the C-tensors of valence $r \leq 2$ form a finite dimensional real vector space with maximal dimension equal to the dimension of the space of constant symmetric r-tensors in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Furthermore the maximal dimension is achieved if and only if the space has constant curvature. □

The above theorem implies the following:

Corollary 2.9 (Concircular tensors in spaces of constant curvature)
Suppose M^n is a space of constant curvature with $n > 1$ and let $r \leq 2$. Let $\beta = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{n+1}\}$ be a basis for the space of concircular vectors, then a given C-tensor of valence r can be written uniquely as a linear combination of r-fold symmetric products of the vectors in β. □

2.4 Summary of Results

We first give an overview of the classification. The classification breaks down into three parts: obtaining canonical forms for C-tensors modulo the action of the isometry group (Sections 3 and 4), classifying the webs described by IC-tensors (Section 5) and obtaining warped product decompositions adapted to reducible OCTs (Section 6).

The webs formed by IC-tensors are the basic building blocks of all separable webs. Section 5 is devoted to obtaining information about these webs from the corresponding IC-tensors. In that section we obtain the transformation from the canonical coordinates (u^i) induced by these tensors to Cartesian coordinates (x^i) and we obtain the metric in canonical coordinates. This is done by first calculating the characteristic polynomial of all CTs in spaces of constant curvature in a Cartesian coordinate system. In examples, we will also show how to obtain the coordinate domains for coordinate systems induced by IC-tensors.

To obtain all orthogonal separable coordinates in spaces of constant curvature, we also have to consider reducible OCTs. Let L be a non-trivial reducible OCT and suppose $\psi : N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \times \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k \to M$ is a local warped product decomposition of M adapted to the eigenspaces of L such that $L_0 := L|_{N_0}$ is an ICT[4]. Let $(x_0) = (u^1, \ldots, u^{n_0})$ be the canonical coordinates induced by L_0 on some open subset of N_0. For $i > 0$ suppose $(x_i) = (x_i^1, \ldots, x_i^{n_i})$ are separable coordinates for N_i, then it was shown in [RM14b, proposition 6.8] that the coordinates $\psi(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ are separable coordinates for M. To construct the separable coordinates (x_i) on N_i where $i > 0$, one would apply this procedure again on N_i equipped with the induced metric, which is again a space of constant curvature [RM14b, lemma 6.10]. It was shown in [RM14a, theorem 1.3] that all orthogonal separable coordinates for spaces of constant curvature arise this way. Hence a remaining problem is to develop a method to construct warped

[4] If L has only constant eigenfunctions, we can choose N_0 to be a point.

product decompositions which decompose a given reducible OCT as above; this is done in Section 6. Together with the results of Section 5, this gives a recursive procedure to construct the orthogonal separable coordinates of these spaces.

In Section 7 we will show how to apply the theory developed in this article to solve motivating problems. First, in Section 7.1 we will show how to enumerate the isometrically inequivalent separable coordinates in a given space of constant curvature. Then in Section 7.2 we will show how to construct separable coordinate systems by way of examples. Finally, in Section 7.3 we will show how to explicitly execute the BEKM separation algorithm in general. We also give the details of executing the BEKM separation algorithm for the Calogero-Moser system.

The classification generally breaks down into one for pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ then one for its spherical submanifolds $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ (which usually reduces to a similar problem in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$). We give more details in the following subsections.

2.4.1 pseudo-Euclidean space

First we define the *dilatational vector field*, r, to be the vector field given in Cartesian coordinates (x^i) by $r = \sum_i x^i \partial_i$. The general concircular contravariant tensor in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ is given as follows (see Proposition 3.2):

$$L = A + 2w \odot r + mr \odot r \tag{2.11}$$

where $A \in C^2_0(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$, $w \in C^1_0(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ and $m \in C^0_0(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$. For $k \geq 0$, define constants ω_k as follows:

$$\omega_k = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \langle w, A^{k-1} w \rangle & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

The above constants aren't necessarily invariant under isometries. But invariants can be defined from them.

Definition 2.10

Suppose L is a CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ as defined above. Then we define the *index* of L to be the first integer $k \geq 0$ for which $\omega_k \neq 0$; L is said to be *non-degenerate* if such an integer exists. Furthermore if L is non-degenerate, it has an associated sign (characteristic):

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \text{ if } k \text{ is even} \\ \operatorname{sgn} \omega_k & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

□

The following theorem which is proven in Section 3 summarizes our results on the canonical forms of concircular tensors; it classifies C-tensors into five disjoint classes.

Theorem 2.11 (Canonical forms for CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$)

Let $\tilde{L} = \tilde{A} + mr \otimes r^\flat + w \otimes r^\flat + r \otimes w^\flat$ be a CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Let k be the index and ε be the sign of $\tilde{L}$ if $\tilde{L}$ is non-degenerate. These quantities are geometric invariants of $\tilde{L}$. Furthermore, after a possible change of origin and after changing to a geometrically equivalent CT, $L = a\tilde{L}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\tilde{L}$ admits precisely one of the following canonical forms.

Central: *If* $k = 0$

$$L = A + r \otimes r^\flat$$

non-null Axial: *If* $k = 1$, *i.e.* $m = 0$, *and* $\langle w, w\rangle \neq 0$*:*

There exists a vector $e_1 \in \operatorname{span}\{w\}$ *such that* L *has the following form:*

$$L = A + e_1 \otimes r^\flat + r \otimes e_1^\flat \qquad Ae_1 = 0, \quad \langle e_1, e_1\rangle = \varepsilon$$

null Axial: *If* $k \geq 2$, *hence* $m = 0$ *and* $\langle w, w\rangle = 0$*:*

There exists a skew-normal sequence $\beta = \{e_1, ..., e_k\}$ *with* $\langle e_1, e_k\rangle = \varepsilon$ *where* $e_1 \in \operatorname{span}\{w\}$ *which is* A*-invariant such that* L *has the following form:*

$$L = A + e_1 \otimes r^\flat + r \otimes e_1^\flat$$

$$A|_\beta = J_k(0)^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & 1 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & 0 & \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Cartesian: *If* k *doesn't exist,* $m = 0$ *and* $w = 0$

$$L = \tilde{A}$$

degenerate null Axial: *If* k *doesn't exist and* $w \neq 0$ □

Remark 2.12
The degenerate null axial concircular tensors will be of no concern to us. In Euclidean space they don't occur and it will be proven later (see Section 3.3.2) that in Minkowski space that they are never orthogonal concircular tensors. □

Remark 2.13
The precise classification for Euclidean and Minkowski space can be directly inferred from the above theorem by imposing the signature of the metric. The classification for Euclidean space is clear. In Minkowski space, $k \leq 3$ and when $k = 3$ the sign of the axial CT must be positive (see [Raj14, lemma 8.1.1]). □

Remark 2.14
When $k = 0$ and 1 respectively, the translation vector v for the isometry $T : r \to r + v$ which sends $\tilde{L}$ to canonical form is given as follows:

$$v = \frac{w}{\omega_0} \qquad \text{if } k = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

$$v = \frac{1}{\omega_1}(Aw - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}w) \qquad \text{if } k = 1 \tag{2.17}$$

For the general case, see Eq. (3.33). □

One can easily deduce that in Euclidean or Minkowski space, any covariantly non-constant OCT is non-degenerate. Hence non-degenerate CTs are the main interest of this article.

Some notation will be useful. The matrix A will be called the *parameter matrix* and the vector w the *axial vector* of the CT. When $k \geq 1$ in the above theorem, we will refer to the CT as an *axial concircular tensor*.

Suppose L is a non-degenerate CT in the canonical form given by Theorem 2.11. We denote by D the A-invariant subspace spanned by $w, Aw, \ldots$. This subspace is either zero (if $w = 0$) or metrically non-degenerate. We will let $A_c := A|_{D^\perp}$, $A_d := A|_D$ and the central CT in $D^\perp$ with parameter matrix A_c by L_c. Furthermore we define the following functions:

$$p(z) := \det(zI - L)$$
$$B(z) := \det(zI - A_c)$$

where the second determinant is evaluated in $D^\perp$.

The canonical forms for non-degenerate CTs can be enumerated by choosing a non-degenerate CT from Theorem 2.11 then choosing a metric-Jordan canonical form for the pair $(A|_{D^\perp}, g|_{D^\perp})$. The proofs of these canonical forms, which are given in Section 3, can be omitted on first reading. Once these canonical forms are obtained, in Sections 5.1 and 5.2 we will calculate the characteristic polynomial for non-degenerate CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Using this, for ICTs we can calculate the transformation from their canonical coordinates to Cartesian coordinates and the metric in canonical coordinates. Then in Section 6.1 we will show how to obtain the warped product decompositions induced by reducible OCTs.

2.4.2 Spherical submanifolds of pseudo-Euclidean space

In this section we assume $n \geq 3$. Denote the orthogonal projection R onto the spherical distribution $r^\perp$ as follows:

$$R = I - \frac{r \otimes r^\flat}{r^2} \qquad\qquad R^* = I - \frac{r^\flat \otimes r}{r^2}$$

Then the general CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ is obtained by restricting $A \in C^2_0(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ to $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. It is given as follows in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ in contravariant form (see Proposition 4.2):

$$L = RAR^* = A + \kappa^2 \langle r, Ar\rangle\, r \odot r - 2\kappa(Ar \odot r) \qquad L^{ij} = R^i{}_l A^{lk} R^j{}_k \tag{2.18}$$

The matrix A is called the *parameter matrix* of the CT. We denote by L_c the central CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ with parameter matrix A. Note that $L = RL_cR^*$. We will see later that several questions concerning L can be related to similar ones concerning L_c.

The canonical forms for these CTs can be enumerated by choosing a metric-Jordan canonical form for the pair (A, g). The proofs of these canonical forms, which are given in Section 4, can be omitted on first reading. Once these canonical forms are obtained, in Section 5.3 we will calculate the characteristic polynomial for CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ by making use of the solution to the similar problem in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Using this, for ICTs we can calculate the transformation from their canonical coordinates to Cartesian coordinates and the metric in canonical coordinates. Then in Section 6.2 we will show how to obtain the warped product decompositions induced by reducible OCTs by making use of the solution to the similar problem in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$.

3 Canonical forms for Concircular tensors in pseudo-Euclidean space

3.1 Standard Model of pseudo-Euclidean space

In this section we calculate the CVs and CTs for $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ in its standard vector space model. These results are well known [Cra07; Ben05], but we include it here for completeness.

First we define the *dilatational vector field*, r, to be the vector field satisfying for any $p \in \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, $r_p = p \in T_p\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. In Cartesian coordinates (x^i), we have

$$r = \sum_i x^i \partial_i$$

In the following proposition we calculate the general CV in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ as done originally in [Cra07].

Proposition 3.1 (Concircular vectors in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ [Cra07])
A vector $v \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ is a CV in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ where $n > 1$ iff there exists $a \in C^0_0(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ and $b \in C^1_0(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ such that

$$v = ar + b$$

where r is the dilatational vector field. □

Proof In $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ with canonical Cartesian coordinates (x^i), Eq. (2.7) becomes:

$$\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial x^j} = \phi \delta^i{}_j$$

This equation can be easily solved by observing the following:

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^k}\delta^i{}_j = \frac{\partial^2 v^i}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^j}\delta^i{}_k$$

Thus taking $i = j \neq k$, we find that $\dfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^k} = 0$. Thus $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ and we find that v must have the form given by $v^i = \phi x^i + b^i$ where each $b^i \in \mathbb{R}$. ∎

Then using Corollary 2.9 we can deduce the general CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$:

Proposition 3.2 (Concircular tensors in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$)
L is a concircular 2-tensor in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ where $n > 1$ iff there exists $A \in C^2_0(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$, $w \in C^1_0(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ and $m \in C^0_0(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ such that:

$$L = A + 2w \odot r + mr \odot r$$

where r is the dilatational vector field. The tensors A, w and m are uniquely determined by L. □

3.2 Parabolic Model of pseudo-Euclidean space

In order to obtain canonical forms for CTs it will be useful to work with a different model of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. We will refer to it as the parabolic model of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, to be introduced shortly. The main reason for working with this model is because it is a spherical submanifold of the ambient space in which the isometries of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ are linearized (see for example, [Raj14, Appendix D]).

Let $\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1}(\infty)$ be the light cone in $\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1}$, i.e. the set of non-zero null vectors. We define the parabolic embedding of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ in $\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1}$ with mean curvature vector $-a \in \mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1}(\infty)$ by [Toj07]

$$\mathbb{E}^n_\nu \cong \mathbb{P}^n_\nu := \{p \in \mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1}(\infty) : \langle p, a\rangle = 1\}$$

An explicit isometry with $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ is obtained by choosing $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$, i.e. b is lightlike and $\langle a, b\rangle = 1$. We let $V := \operatorname{span}\{a, b\}^\perp$, note that $V \cong \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, then for $x \in V$:

$$\psi(x) = b + x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 a \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu \tag{3.6}$$

The map ψ gives an explicit isometry between $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ and $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. By definition of $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$, it follows that $T_p\mathbb{P}^n_\nu = p^\perp \cap a^\perp = \operatorname{span}\{p, a\}^\perp$. Also note that for $x \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$

$$\psi^{-1}(x) = x - \langle x, b\rangle\, a - \langle x, a\rangle\, b$$

An important reason for working with $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ is the following (see [Nol96] or [Raj14, Appendix D]):

Proposition 3.3 (Isometry group of $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$)
The isometry group of $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ is:

$$I(\mathbb{P}^n_\nu) = \{T \in O_{\nu+1}(n+2) \mid Ta = a\}$$

Furthermore suppose we fix an isometry with $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ via Eq. (3.6) by fixing a subspace $V \subset a^\perp$ such that $V \simeq \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, then for $p \in V$ and $\tilde{p} \in V^\perp$ we have the following Lie group isomorphism:

$$\phi : \begin{cases} O^n_\nu(V) \ltimes V & \to I(\mathbb{P}^n_\nu) \\ (B, v) & \mapsto \phi(B, v) \end{cases}$$

□

where

$$\phi(B, v)(p + \tilde{p}) = \tilde{p} + Bp + \langle a, \tilde{p}\rangle\, v - (\langle Bp, v\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle a, \tilde{p}\rangle\, v^2))a \tag{3.9}$$

Proof See [Raj14, Appendix D] or [Nol96, lemma 6] which covers the case when $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ is Euclidean. ■

Remark 3.4
If $\psi : \mathbb{E}^n_\nu \to \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ is the standard embedding from Eq. (3.6), then ψ is equivariant. In other words, if we let $Tp := Bp + v$ for $(B, v) \in O^n_\nu(V) \ltimes V$ as above, and $\hat{T} := \phi(B, v)$ then $\psi \circ T(p) = \hat{T} \circ \psi(p)$. □

We also have the following:

Lemma 3.5
For $\bar{p} \in V$ and $X \in T_{\bar{p}}V$

$$\psi_* X = X - \langle X, \bar{p}\rangle\, a$$

For $Y \in T_{\psi(\bar{p})}\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$, the inverse of the above map is given by:

$$P_b : \begin{cases} T_{\psi(\bar{p})}\mathbb{P}^n_\nu & \to T_{\bar{p}}V \\ Y & \mapsto Y - \langle Y, b\rangle\, a \end{cases}$$

□

Proof The first statement is clear. First observe that $P_b\psi_* X = X$. Now,

$$\psi_* P_b Y = Y - \langle Y, b\rangle\, a - \langle Y, \bar{p}\rangle\, a$$

Now $0 = \langle Y, \psi(\bar{p})\rangle = \langle Y, b\rangle + \langle Y, \bar{p}\rangle$. Thus $\psi_* P_b Y = Y$. ■

Furthermore we denote by P_1 the orthogonal projector onto $T\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$. It is given as follows for $r \in \mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1}$

$$P_1 : \begin{cases} T_r\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1} & \to T_r\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1} \\ V & \mapsto V - \langle V, r\rangle\, a - \langle V, a\rangle\, r \end{cases}$$

We will now calculate the CT in $\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1}$ which restricts to the most general CT in $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$. Due to Corollary 2.9 we only need to examine how CVs restrict. By Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 2.8, the general CV in $\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1}$ can be written

$$v = c_0 r + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i a_i + c_{n+1} b + c_{n+2} a$$

where each $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ is a basis for V and r is the dilatational vector field in $\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_b P_1 v &= P_b\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(a_i - \langle a_i, r\rangle\, a) + c_{n+1}(b - \langle b, r\rangle\, a - r)\Big) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i a_i - c_{n+1} x \end{aligned}$$

where x is the dilatational vector field in V. Then using Corollary 2.9 we have proven the following:

Proposition 3.6
Suppose $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ is identified with $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ by the embedding in Eq. (3.6). Denote by $V = \operatorname{span}\{a, b\}^\perp$, let $\tilde{A} \in C^2_0(V)$, $w \in C^1_0(V)$, and $m \in C^0_0(V)$. Define

$$A = \tilde{A} + mb \odot b - 2w \odot b \tag{3.15}$$

Then the restriction of A to V, denoted L, via the embedding in Eq. (3.6) is:

$$L = \tilde{A} + mr \odot r + 2w \odot r$$ □

Note that A is completely determined by the condition $Ab = 0$. Now for $A \in C^2_0(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1})$, define A_b by

$$(A_b)^{ij} := (P_b)^i{}_l A^{lk} (P_b)^j{}_k$$

Note that b is an eigenvector of A_b with eigenvalue 0. Also observe that

$$P_1 P_b = P_1 - w \otimes b^\flat + w \otimes b^\flat = P_1$$

The above equation shows that A and A_b induce the same CT on $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$. From the calculations proceeding Eq. (3.15) we see that

$$\{a_1 - \langle a_1, r\rangle\, a, \ldots, a_n - \langle a_n, r\rangle\, a, b - \langle b, r\rangle\, a - r\}$$

is basis for the space of CVs on $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$. Thus it follows from Corollary 2.9 and the proceeding calculations that $A, B \in C^2_0(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1})$ induce the same CT on $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ iff for some $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ we have

$$A_b = B_b$$

Furthermore, one should note that if $b, c \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$, then $(A_c)_b = A_b$. Hence it follows that if $A_b = B_b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ then $A_c = B_c$ for all $c \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$.

3.3 Existence of Canonical forms

In this section $A \in C^2_0(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{\nu+1})$. We are interested in finding canonical forms for the CT on $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ induced by this tensor. As it was shown in the previous section, the induced CT depends only on A_b for some $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$. Hence our goal will be to find $\tilde{b} \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ such that $A_{\tilde{b}}$ is in a canonical form. Since the isometry with $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ (see Eq. (3.6)) is fixed by a vector $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$, we will then choose $T \in I(\mathbb{P}^n_\nu)$ such that $T\tilde{b} = b$. This will transform $A_{\tilde{b}}$ to $(T_* A)_b$ which can be restricted to $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ using Proposition 3.6 to obtain a canonical form for the original CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$.

To obtain the canonical choice of $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$, first note that A_b is completely determined by the fact that $A_b b = 0$. Secondly, note that since isometries of $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ fix a, it follows that for each $l \geq 0$, $\langle a, A^l a\rangle$ are invariants of A. Although these are in general not invariants of the CT induced by A, they will play a significant role in the classification. Thirdly, since a cannot be transformed by isometries, we will attempt to choose $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ such that a is a basis vector in a metric-Jordan canonical basis for A_b. Since $\langle a, b\rangle = 1$, one can deduce that (using the metric-Jordan canonical form [Raj14, Appendix C]) in the simplest cases, a, b lie in the same eigenspace of A_b or a generates a Jordan cycle ending in a constant multiple of b. These observations motivate our search for b.

For the following calculations, $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ is arbitrary and we let $\tilde{A} := A_b$. The following lemma will get us started:

Lemma 3.7
Suppose there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle a, A^l a\rangle = 0$ for $0 \leq l < k$. Then for each $0 \leq l \leq k$

$$\tilde{A}^l a = A^l a - \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \left\langle b, A^{l-j} a \right\rangle \tilde{A}^j a \tag{3.20}$$

Furthermore, if $0 \leq l \leq k$ then

$$\left\langle a, \tilde{A}^l a \right\rangle = \left\langle a, A^l a \right\rangle \tag{3.21}$$

So the constants $\langle a, A^l a\rangle$ are invariants of the CT on $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ induced by A. □

PROOF We prove Eq. (3.20) by induction. It clearly holds for $l = 0, 1$. Now assume it holds for $l - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A}^l a &= \tilde{A}A^{l-1}a - \sum_{j=0}^{l-2} \left\langle b, A^{l-1-j}a \right\rangle \tilde{A}^{j+1}a \\
&= A^l a - a \left\langle b, A^l a \right\rangle - \sum_{j=0}^{l-2} \left\langle b, A^{l-1-j}a \right\rangle \tilde{A}^{j+1}a \\
&= A^l a - a \left\langle b, A^l a \right\rangle - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \left\langle b, A^{l-j}a \right\rangle \tilde{A}^{j}a \\
&= A^l a - \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \left\langle b, A^{l-j}a \right\rangle \tilde{A}^{j}a
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the first equation follows by induction.
Suppose $0 \leq l < k$, then

$$\left\langle a, \tilde{A}^l a \right\rangle = -\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \left\langle b, A^{l-j}a \right\rangle \left\langle a, \tilde{A}^j a \right\rangle$$

Thus it follows by induction that $\left\langle a, \tilde{A}^l a \right\rangle = 0$. Thus $\left\langle a, \tilde{A}^k a \right\rangle = \langle a, A^k a \rangle$. ∎

Now, define ω_i by

$$\omega_i := \langle a, A^{i+1} a \rangle$$

We will also need the following lemma to calculate ω_i in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$.

Lemma 3.8
Suppose A has the form given by Eq. (3.15), then

$$A^l a = \begin{cases} mb - w & l = 1 \\ \left\langle w, \tilde{A}^{l-2} w \right\rangle b - \tilde{A}^{l-1} w & l > 1 \end{cases} \tag{3.24}$$

and ω_i is given by Eq. (2.12). □

Using the above lemma we can also apply the definitions of index, sign and degeneracy of CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ from Definition 2.10 to CTs in $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$.

3.3.1 Non-degenerate cases

Now we consider the case where there exists a least $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle a, A^k a \rangle \neq 0$. This will be the most important case for our interests. Motivated by special cases and

the metric-Jordan canonical form of $\tilde{A}$ discussed earlier, we will try to find b such that $a, \tilde{A}a, \ldots, \tilde{A}^k a$ forms a skew-normal sequence with $\langle a, A^k a\rangle\, b = \tilde{A}^k a$. The following lemma describes b provided it exists:

Lemma 3.9
Suppose there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle a, A^l a\rangle = 0$ for $0 \leq l < k$ and $\langle a, A^k a\rangle \neq 0$. Assume there exists a b such that $\langle a, A^k a\rangle\, b = \tilde{A}^k a$ and $\left\langle \tilde{A}^j a, \tilde{A}^k a\right\rangle = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then b must satisfy the following equations for each $l \in \{0, \ldots, k\}$

$$2\left\langle b, A^l a\right\rangle = \frac{\langle A^l a, A^k a\rangle}{\langle a, A^k a\rangle} - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \left\langle b, A^{l-j} a\right\rangle \langle b, A^j a\rangle \tag{3.25}$$

Proof Suppose $0 < l \leq k$. Expanding $\tilde{A}^k a$ using Eq. (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \tilde{A}^l a, \tilde{A}^k a\right\rangle &= \left\langle \tilde{A}^l a, A^k a\right\rangle - \left\langle b, A^l a\right\rangle \left\langle \tilde{A}^l a, \tilde{A}^{k-l} a\right\rangle \\ &\overset{(3.21)}{=} \left\langle \tilde{A}^l a, A^k a\right\rangle - \left\langle b, A^l a\right\rangle \left\langle a, A^k a\right\rangle\end{aligned}$$

By imposing the condition $\left\langle \tilde{A}^l a, \tilde{A}^k a\right\rangle = 0$, the above equation implies that:

$$\left\langle \tilde{A}^l a, A^k a\right\rangle - \left\langle b, A^l a\right\rangle \left\langle a, A^k a\right\rangle = 0 \tag{3.26}$$

Now expanding $\tilde{A}^l a$ using Eq. (3.20), the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \tilde{A}^l a, A^k a\right\rangle &= \left\langle A^l a - \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \left\langle b, A^{l-j} a\right\rangle \tilde{A}^j a, A^k a\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle A^l a, A^k a\right\rangle - \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \left\langle b, A^{l-j} a\right\rangle \left\langle \tilde{A}^j a, A^k a\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle A^l a, A^k a\right\rangle - \left\langle b, A^l a\right\rangle \left\langle a, A^k a\right\rangle - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \left\langle b, A^{l-j} a\right\rangle \left\langle \tilde{A}^j a, A^k a\right\rangle \\ &\overset{(3.26)}{=} \left\langle A^l a, A^k a\right\rangle - \left\langle b, A^l a\right\rangle \left\langle a, A^k a\right\rangle - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \left\langle b, A^{l-j} a\right\rangle \langle b, A^j a\rangle \left\langle a, A^k a\right\rangle\end{aligned}$$

Equating the above equation with Eq. (3.26) and solving for $\left\langle b, A^l a\right\rangle$ proves the result. ∎

Now we will use the above lemma and Eq. (3.20) to construct a vector b such that $\tilde{A}$ is in canonical form. First define a sequence $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ of scalars recursively as follows:

$$2b_l := \frac{\langle A^l a, A^k a\rangle}{\langle a, A^k a\rangle} - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} b_{l-j} b_j$$

Then define vectors $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_k$ as follows:

$$s_l := A^l a - \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} b_{l-j} s_j$$

Then define b by $b\langle a, A^k a\rangle := s_k$. The following lemma shows that this choice does work:

Proposition 3.10
The vectors $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_k$ form a skew-normal sequence with $\langle s_0, s_k\rangle = \langle a, A^k a\rangle$. If $\tilde{A}^l a$ are defined as in Eq. (3.20) with the above vector b then $\tilde{A}^l a = s_l$. □

Proof The fact that $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_k$ form a skew-normal sequence follows verbatim from Lemma 3.7 and the proceeding arguments by replacing $s_l \to \tilde{A}^l a$ and $b_l \to \langle b, A^l a\rangle$.

Suppose that $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_k$ form a skew-normal sequence where $\langle s_0, s_k\rangle = \langle a, A^k a\rangle$. By definition of s_l, it follows that each $A^l a$ can be expanded in this basis as:

$$A^l a = s_l + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} b_{l-j} s_j$$

Thus

$$\left\langle A^k a, a\right\rangle \left\langle b, A^l a\right\rangle = \left\langle s_k, A^l a\right\rangle = b_l \left\langle A^k a, a\right\rangle$$

Hence $b_l = \langle b, A^l a\rangle$. Then it follows by definition of s_l and $\tilde{A}^l a$ in Eq. (3.20) that $\tilde{A}^l a = s_l$. ■

Now suppose A is in the canonical form stated above. Let $V = \mathrm{span}\{a, b\}^\perp$ where b was chosen as above. Then $H = \mathrm{span}\{a, Aa, \ldots, A^k a\}$ is a non-degenerate A-invariant subspace (see [Raj14, Lemma 8.1.1]). Hence $H^\perp$ is a non-degenerate A-invariant subspace complementary to H. We now mention more precisely what we mean by "the" canonical form:

Definition 3.11
Suppose L is a CT in $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ with parameter matrix A as above and index $k' := k - 1 \geq 0$, i.e. L is *non-degenerate*. The *iso-canonical form* for L is the metric-Jordan canonical form for $(A|_{H^\perp}, g|_{H^\perp})$ together with the index k' and constant $\left\langle a, A^{k'+1} a\right\rangle \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. □

We will prove later on that this canonical form is uniquely determined by L. But for now we will examine this canonical form further. Let $\tilde{A} := A|_{H^\perp}$, then we can write:

$$A = \tilde{A} + \omega_0 b \odot b - 2w \odot b$$

where $w = \omega_0 b - Aa$.

If $\omega_0 \neq 0$ then it follows that $w = 0$ and it follows by Proposition 3.6 that the induced CT on V is

$$\tilde{A} + \omega_0 r \odot r$$

Thus after dividing by ω_0 we get the central CT from Theorem 2.11. If $\omega_0 = 0$, one can check that $w, \tilde{A}w, \ldots, \tilde{A}^{k-2}w \in V$ form a skew-normal sequence with $\left\langle w, \tilde{A}^{k-2}w \right\rangle = \omega_{k-1}$. It follows by Proposition 3.6 that the induced CT on V is

$$\tilde{A} + 2w \odot r$$

This CT is a constant multiple of a (null) axial CT with the same index and sign from Theorem 2.11 (after an appropriate choice of basis).

Transformation to Canonical form: We now denote by $\tilde{b}$ the vector b obtained above which puts A into a canonical form. The vector $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ is fixed by an isometry with $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ (see Eq. (3.6)), furthermore we let $V = \text{span}\{a, b\}^\perp$. We can assume A has the form given by Eq. (3.15). The last problem is to choose $T \in I(\mathbb{P}^n_\nu)$ such that $T\tilde{b} = b$. We can obtain a unique transformation if we require T to induce a translation in V. Indeed, by Eq. (3.9) the most general transformation of this type is

$$T = I - a \otimes (\frac{1}{2}v^2 a^\flat + v^\flat) + v \otimes a^\flat$$

where $v \in V$ is arbitrary. The unique transformation with the above form satisfying $T\tilde{b} = b$ is obtained by taking

$$v = b - \tilde{b} + a \left\langle \tilde{b}, b \right\rangle$$

We now proceed to calculate v. First we can write

$$\tilde{b} = \frac{1}{\omega_{k-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_i A^i a$$

Since $\langle b, A^l a\rangle = 0$ for any $l > 0$, we see that

$$\tilde{b} - a\left\langle \tilde{b}, b\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\omega_{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i A^i a$$

Since for $0 < l < k$, $\langle a, A^l a\rangle = 0$ it follows by Eq. (3.24) that $A^l a = -\tilde{A}^{l-1} w$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} v &= -\frac{1}{\omega_{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i A^i a + b \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \tilde{A}^{i-1} w \end{aligned}$$

where the last equation follows from the fact that $c_k = 1$. We have calculated the first four coefficients (which are sufficient for Euclidean and Minkowski space):

$$\begin{aligned} c_k &= 1 \\ c_{k-1} &= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega_k}{\omega_{k-1}} \\ c_{k-2} &= \frac{1}{16}\frac{(-8\omega_{k-1}\omega_{k+1} + 6\omega_k^2)}{\omega_{k-1}^2} \\ c_{k-3} &= \frac{1}{16}\frac{(-8\omega_{k-1}^2\omega_{k+2} + 12\omega_{k-1}\omega_k\omega_{k+1} - 5\omega_k^3)}{\omega_{k-1}^3} \end{aligned}$$

In particular when $k = 1$ and 2 respectively we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \frac{w}{\omega_0} \\ v &= \frac{1}{\omega_1}(\tilde{A}w - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} w) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we note that by equivariance of the map ψ (see remark after Proposition 3.3), one only needs to apply the isometry $T : V \to V$ given by $r \mapsto r + v$ to send the induced CT in V into canonical form. Hence in practice one does not need to work in $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$.

3.3.2 Degenerate cases

We now consider the case where $\langle a, A^l a\rangle = 0$ for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$. First note that the dimension of the subspace spanned by $a, Aa, \ldots$ must be at most $n-1$ by non-degeneracy of the scalar product. So there exists a least $l \leq n-1$ such that $\{a, Aa, \ldots, A^l a\} \subseteq a^\perp$ is a linearly independent set but $A^{l+1}a \in \text{span}\{a, Aa, \ldots, A^l a\}$. Thus it follows that $A^m a \in \text{span}\{a, Aa, \ldots, A^l a\}$ for all $m > l$. Also note by Lemma 3.7 it follows that these properties are invariant under the transformation $A \to A_b$.

Case 1 $l = 0$

In this case a is an eigenvector of A. After transforming A to A_b (if necessary), we can assume that $Aa = 0$. Also $Ab = 0$, then since $\langle a, b\rangle = 1$ it follows that $\text{span}\{a, b\}$ is a non-degenerate A-invariant subspace. Hence after identifying $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu \simeq \text{span}\{a, b\}^\perp$, it follows by Proposition 3.6 that A restricts to a Cartesian CT on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$.

Case 2 $l \geq 1$

Fix $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$, let $V = \text{span}\{a, b\}^\perp$ and assume $Ab = 0$. Then we can write:

$$A = \tilde{A} + 2w \odot b$$

Now note that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\langle a, A^j a\rangle = 0$. Suppose inductively that for all $1 \leq j \leq i$ that $A^j a \in V$ then

$$AA^i a = \tilde{A}A^i a \in V$$

since $\langle A^i a, w\rangle = \langle A^i a, Aa\rangle = 0$ and $\langle A^i a, b\rangle = 0$. Hence by induction for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\langle b, A^j a\rangle = 0$. Thus $Aa, \ldots, A^l a, A^{l+1}a \in V$.

In particular, when $l = 1$ we see that w is a lightlike eigenvector of $\tilde{A}$. Then by Proposition 3.6, A induces the following CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$

$$L = \tilde{A} - 2w \odot r$$

Observe that w is a lightlike eigenvector of L with non-constant eigenfunction. Thus L is never an OC-tensor because lightlike eigenvectors of OC-tensors must have constant eigenfunctions.

If $l > 1$, we see that $Aa, A^2a \in V$ are linearly independent orthogonal lightlike vectors. Thus this case can't occur in Euclidean or Minkowski case, so we ignore it.

3.4 Uniqueness of Canonical Forms

In this section we will show that the canonical forms obtained in the previous section are uniquely determined by a given CT in $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$. As a consequence of this we will show that the different canonical forms divide the CTs into isometrically inequivalent classes. We will be working with the case when the CT is non-degenerate as the other cases are either straightforward or uninteresting.

Suppose L and M are CTs in $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ with parameter matrices A and B respectively. We observed at the end of Section 3.2 that $L = M$ iff for one (hence all) $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$:

$$A_b = B_b$$

Thus it follows that $L = T_*M$ for some $T \in I(\mathbb{P}^n_\nu)$ iff for one (hence all) $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$:

$$A_b = (T_*B)_b$$

Lemma 3.12
Suppose A_2 is a parameter matrix, and $A_1 = (A_2)_b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$. Assume each A_i have the same index and admit a vector b_i which transforms it to canonical form according to Proposition 3.10. Then $b_1 = b_2$. □

Proof Let $A_0 = (A_2)_{b_2}$, then $A_1 = (A_0)_b$. Since A_0 is in canonical form, $a, A_0a, \cdots, A_0^k a$ forms an adapted cycle of generalized eigenvectors for A_0 with eigenvalue 0. In this case $\langle a, A_0^k a\rangle \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Let b_1 be the vector admitted by A_1 and let $A_3 := (A_1)_{b_1} = (A_0)_{b_1}$. Now by Proposition 3.10 and Lemma 3.7, b_1 satisfies:

$$\left\langle a, A_1^k a\right\rangle b_1 = A_3^k a = A_0^k a - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left\langle b_1, A_0^{k-j} a\right\rangle A_3^j a \tag{3.42}$$

Since A_3 is in canonical form, it follows for each $l \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$, $\langle b_1, A_0^l a\rangle$ satisfies Eq. (3.25). Then since A_0 is in canonical form, we have $\langle b_1, A_0^l a\rangle = 0$ for $l \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$. Thus Eq. (3.42) shows that

$$\left\langle a, A_1^k a\right\rangle b_1 = A_0^k a = \left\langle a, A_1^k a\right\rangle b_2$$

Hence $b_1 = b_2$. ■

In the following theorem we will show that the iso-canonical form defined in Definition 3.11 for non-degenerate CTs is uniquely determined by the CT.

Theorem 3.13 (Isometric Equivalence of CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$)
Suppose L and M are CTs in $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ such that M has an index $k \geq 0$. Then $L = T_*M$ for some $T \in I(\mathbb{P}^n_\nu)$ iff L and M have the same iso-canonical form. □

Proof Assume that $L = T_*M$ for some $T \in I(\mathbb{P}^n_\nu)$. Then for some $b \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$:

$$A_b = (T_*B)_b$$

By the above equation and Lemma 3.7 it follows that the index of L is also k. Let b_2 be the vector which puts B in canonical form given by Proposition 3.10. Then

Tb_2 sends T_*B to canonical form. By Lemma 3.12, Tb_2 is the vector obtained from Proposition 3.10 which puts A in canonical form. Let $\tilde{b} := Tb_2$ then

$$A_{\tilde{b}} = (T_*B)_{\tilde{b}} = T_*(B_{b_2})$$

Hence B_{b_2} is isometric to $A_{\tilde{b}}$. Then it follows from the uniqueness of the metric-Jordan canonical form [Raj14, Appendix C] that $A_{\tilde{b}}$ and B_{b_2} have the same iso-canonical form.

Conversely suppose L and M have the same iso-canonical form. Then A (resp. B) each admit a vector $b_1 \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$ (resp. $b_2 \in \mathbb{P}^n_\nu$) such that A_{b_1} and B_{b_2} have the same iso-canonical form. Then one can easily construct $T \in I(\mathbb{P}^n_\nu)$ which transforms a metric-Jordan canonical basis of B_{b_2} into A_{b_1}, so that $A_{b_1} = T_*(B_{b_2})$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow T(B_{b_2})^k a &= (A_{b_1})^k a \\ \Rightarrow Tb_2 &= b_1 \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the last equation we have used the fact that $\langle a, B^k a\rangle = \langle a, A^k a\rangle$. Then

$$A_{b_1} = T_*(B_{b_2}) = (T_*B)_{b_1}$$

Thus $L = T_*M$, which proves the converse. ∎

Geo-Canonical forms We now give a geo-canonical form for non-degenerate CTs in $\mathbb{P}^n_\nu$. Suppose L is such a CT with index k and parameter matrix A in iso-canonical form. Then for $c \in \mathbb{R}$, cL has parameter matrix cA and

$$\langle a, (cA)^{k+1} a\rangle = c^{k+1} \left\langle a, A^{k+1} a \right\rangle$$

Hence after an appropriate transformation $L \to cL$, we can assume

$$\left\langle a, A^{k+1} a \right\rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \text{ if } k \text{ is even} \\ \pm 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Note that when k is odd, c is only determined up to sign. Hence there are two possible geo-canonical forms in this case. Now, if L is an axial CT, we can fix $d \in \mathbb{R}$ by requiring that $(A + dI)^k a \in \operatorname{span}\{a, b\}$. This condition is satisfied in the iso-canonical form. If L is central, we choose d such that the real part of the smallest eigenvalue (see Definition A.1) of $A|_{H^\perp}$ is zero.

4 Canonical forms for Concircular tensors in Spherical submanifolds of pseudo-Euclidean space

4.1 Obtaining concircular tensors in umbilical submanifolds by restriction

Let $\tilde{M}$ be a pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of M with Levi-Civita connections $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ respectively. We say $\tilde{M}$ is an umbilical submanifold (see [O'N83] for more details) if there exists $H \in \mathfrak{X}(\tilde{M})^{\perp}$ (i.e. H is orthogonal to $T\tilde{M}$) called the *mean curvature normal* of $\tilde{M}$ such that

$$\nabla_x y = \tilde{\nabla}_x y + \langle x, y \rangle H$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}(\tilde{M})$. By generalizing an observation made in [Cra03] one can deduce the following:

Proposition 4.1 (Restriction of CTs to umbilical submanifolds [Cra03]) *Suppose $\tilde{M}$ is an umbilical submanifold of M with mean curvature normal H and L is a concircular r-tensor on M with conformal factor C in covariant form. Then the pullback of L to $\tilde{M}$ is a concircular r-tensor with conformal factor equal to the pullback of $C + rL(H)$, where in components, $L(H)_{i_1,\ldots,i_{r-1}} = L_{i_1,\ldots,i_{r-1}j}H^j$.* □

Since spherical submanifolds are umbilical submanifolds and $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ is a spherical submanifold (see for example [Raj14, Appendix D]), the above proposition allows us to obtain CTs on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. We will do this in the following section.

4.2 Concircular tensors in Spherical submanifolds of pseudo-Euclidean space

In this section we study the CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ via the canonical embedding in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Let r denote the dilatational vector field, we work on the subset of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ for which $r^2 \neq 0$. Let $E := r^{\perp}$ and let L be a CT on M. To obtain the CT on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\frac{1}{r^2})$ (which is an integral manifold of E), we first let $R := I - \dfrac{r^\flat \otimes r}{r^2}$ where I is the identity endomorphism then $L_E := L|_E$ is given as follows:

$$(L_E)^{ij} = R^i{}_l L^{lk} R^j{}_k$$

Now we will calculate the general CT on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$.

Proposition 4.2 (Concircular tensors in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$) *$\tilde{L}$ is a concircular tensor in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\frac{1}{r^2})$ where $n > 2$ iff there exists $A \in C^2_0(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ such that $\tilde{L}$ has the following form embedded in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$:*

$$L = A_E = A + \frac{\langle r, Ar \rangle}{r^4} r \odot r - \frac{2}{r^2}(Ar \odot r)$$

A is uniquely determined by $\tilde{L}$. Furthermore $\tilde{L}$ is covariantly constant iff its a constant multiple of the metric on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\frac{1}{r^2})$, i.e. $A = cG$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ where G is the metric of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. ♢

Proof Fix $\tilde{L} \in S^2(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\frac{1}{r^2}))$. Choose an orthonormal basis $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ for $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Let $R^* = I - \dfrac{r \otimes r^\flat}{r^2}$, then it follows from Proposition 4.1 that the vectors

$$R^* a_i = a_i - \frac{\langle r, a_i \rangle}{r^2} r \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$$

are CVs on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\frac{1}{r^2})$. Furthermore one can check that these vectors are linearly independent. Thus by Corollary 2.9 every CT can be written uniquely as a linear combination of symmetric products of the above CVs. Thus it follows that we can choose a unique $A \in C^2_0(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ such that $\tilde{L} = A_E$ on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\frac{1}{r^2})$. In $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, A_E is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_E &= R^* A R \\ &= A + A(r^\flat, r^\flat)\frac{r \odot r}{r^4} - \frac{2}{r^2} A(r^\flat) \odot r \\ &= A + \langle r, Ar \rangle \frac{r \odot r}{r^4} - \frac{2}{r^2} Ar \odot r \end{aligned}$$

Conversely by Corollary 2.9 it follows that for any $A \in C^2_0(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$, A_E corresponds to CT on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\frac{1}{r^2})$.

The last statement follows from Proposition 4.1. ■

Remark 4.3
The general CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ has been obtained in [TCS05, Section 3] with respect to certain canonical coordinates for these spaces. They use a different method for obtaining these tensors based on the theory developed in their article. □

For the remainder of this article we will always work with CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ via the tensor L defined in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ in the above proposition.

Definition 4.4
Suppose L is a CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ with parameter matrix $A \in S^2(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ as above. The iso-canonical form for L is the metric-Jordan canonical form for (A, g). □

Except for hyperbolic space H^{n-1}_0 and the space anti-isomorphic to it S^{n-1}_{n-1}, uniqueness of the iso-canonical form follows from the uniqueness of the metric-Jordan canonical form and the fact that $I(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)) = O(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ [O'N83]. For H^{n-1}_0, $I(H^{n-1}_0)$ is the subset of $O(\mathbb{E}^n_1)$ that preserves time orientation [O'N83]. In this case, minor modifications of the proof of the uniqueness of the metric-Jordan canonical form will show that it holds true with $I(H^{n-1}_0)$ in place of of $O(\mathbb{E}^n_1)$. A similar argument goes for S^{n-1}_{n-1}. Hence we have proven the following:

Theorem 4.5 (Isometric Equivalence of CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$)
Suppose L and M are CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. Then $L = T_* M$ for some $T \in I(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa))$ iff L and M have the same iso-canonical form. □

Geo-Canonical forms By definition, the restriction of G to $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ is the metric on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. Hence we see that if $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A \in C^2_0(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$, then A and $aA + bG$ induce geometrically equivalent CTs on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ (see Proposition 2.5). We now show how to obtain the geo-canonical forms. Suppose $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}$ are the distinct eigenvalues of A. Let $|\cdot|$ denote the modulus of a complex number, then define:

$$|a| := \min_{i,j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j| > 0$$

Note that this quantity is invariant under geometric equivalence. By making the transformation $\lambda_i \to \frac{\lambda_i}{|a|}$, we can assume $|a| = 1$. Furthermore we choose $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the real part of the smallest eigenvalue (see Definition A.1) of A is zero. Since its not possible to specify the sign of a, we conclude that there are (in general) two geo-canonical forms for CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. Although in practice one can often use more information from the metric-Jordan canonical form of A to obtain a single geo-canonical form, as the following example shows:

Example 4.6 (Separable coordinates in hyperbolic space)
Consider $H^{n-1} = \mathbb{E}^n_1(-1)$ with the standard metric:

$$g = \operatorname{diag}(-1, 1, \ldots, 1)$$

For $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ define two linear operators A_1 and A_2 as follows:

$$A_1 = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$$
$$A_2 = \operatorname{diag}(-\lambda_1, \ldots, -\lambda_n)$$

These two operators are isometrically inequivalent since they have different metric-Jordan canonical forms. The timelike eigenvalue of the first is the smallest, while that of the second is the largest. Although $-A_2 = A_1$ and hence the CT on H^{n-1} induced by these operators are geometrically equivalent. So, in H^{n-1} we can work with inequivalent CTs (under change of sign) by working with those whose parameter matrix has a timelike eigenvalue which is less than or equal to $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ spacelike eigenvalues.

Thus the set of eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ induce $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ inequivalent separable coordinates in H^{n-1}; in contrast with the n inequivalent separable coordinates in $\mathbb{E}^n_1$ induced by central CTs. □

5 Properties of Concircular tensors in Spaces of Constant Curvature

In this section we will assume that each CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ or $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ is in a canonical form listed in Section 2.4. Furthermore we will assume that the Cartesian coordinates are chosen such that the parameter matrix A_c is in the complex metric-Jordan canonical form stated in Theorem 2.1 (see [Raj14, Appendix C] for details). We now describe how to

transform to real Cartesian coordinates such that A_c obtains the real metric-Jordan canonical form (see [Raj14, Appendix C]). Suppose $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and (A, g) is given as follows:

$$A = J_k(\lambda) \oplus J_k(\overline{\lambda}) \qquad\qquad g = S_k \oplus S_k$$

in coordinates $(x^1, \dots, x^k, \overline{x}^1, \dots, \overline{x}^k)$. Define real coordinates $(s^1, t^1, \dots, s^k, t^k)$ implicitly as follows:

$$x^j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(s^j - it^j)$$

$$\overline{x}^j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(s^j + it^j)$$

These coordinates were chosen so that the pair (A, g) are in the real metric-Jordan canonical form in the real coordinates $(s^1, t^1, \dots, s^k, t^k)$ after applying the appropriate tensor transformation law.

In Cartesian coordinates (x^i), we will use the convention that $x_i := g_{ij}x^j$; this is the only case where the Einstein summation convention is used in this section.

We now list some generic facts about tensors and C-tensors that will be used. We first present some facts about $\binom{1}{1}$-tensors. In the following proposition, we use the notation C^p to denote the differentiability class of a geometric object, where $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, and C^ω denotes the analytic class.

Proposition 5.1
Suppose T is a $\binom{1}{1}$-tensor of class C^p and fix $q \in M$.

Let λ_0 be a simple eigenvalue of T_q. Then there exists a neighborhood of q in which T has a simple eigenfunction λ with a corresponding eigenvector field which are both of class C^p, and $\lambda(q) = \lambda_0$.

If T_q has simple eigenvalues, then there exists a neighborhood of q in which T has simple eigenfunctions of class C^p, and T admits a basis of eigenvector fields of class C^p. □

Proof The proof is an application of the implicit function theorem (see, for example [Die08, Theorems 10.2.1-10.2.4]). Details can be found in [Kaz98], see also [Lax07]. ■

The above proposition shows that Benenti tensors necessarily locally admit a smooth basis of eigenvectors with corresponding smooth eigenfunctions. The following proposition gives necessary and sufficient conditions to determine when a given Benenti tensor is an IC-tensor.

Proposition 5.2
Suppose L is a Benenti tensor in a neighbourhood U of a point p. If the eigenfunctions of L are not constant in U, then the eigenfunctions are functionally independent, i.e. L is an IC-tensor in a dense open subset of U. □

PROOF This is a direct consequence of the torsionless property of these tensors. Since in this case there are coordinates (q^i) such that L is diagonal and each eigenfunction $u^i(q^i)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}u^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}u^n &= \frac{du^1}{dq^1}\mathrm{d}q^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{du^n}{dq^n}\mathrm{d}q^n \\ &= (\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{du^i}{dq^i})\mathrm{d}q^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}q^n \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\mathrm{d}u^i \neq 0$ for each i, the eigenfunctions are functionally independent. If the u^i are analytic functions of q^i, then by assumption it follows that L is an IC-tensor in a dense open subset of U. ■

Proposition 5.3
Suppose L is an OCT and $p(z) = \det(zI - L)$ is its characteristic polynomial. Suppose u^i is a simple eigenfunction of L and $du^i \neq 0$, then the corresponding eigenform is given by:

$$du^i = -\frac{(dp)|_{z=u^i}}{p'(u^i)}$$

where dp is the exterior derivative of p with respect to the ambient coordinates and p' is the partial derivative of p with respect to z. Furthermore if L is an IC-tensor, then the metric in the coordinates induced by the eigenfunctions of L is:

$$g^{ij} = \begin{cases} (p'(u^i))^{-2} \left\langle (dp)|_{z=u^i}, (dp)|_{z=u^i} \right\rangle & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$ ♢

PROOF Since $p(z) = (z - u^i)f(z)$ for a smooth function $f(z)$. By taking the exterior derivative, we get:

$$\mathrm{d}p = -f\mathrm{d}u^i + (z - u^i)\mathrm{d}f$$

Then by L'Hopital's rule, we find that:

$$(\mathrm{d}p)|_{z=u^i} = -p'(u^i)\mathrm{d}u^i$$

which can be solved for $\mathrm{d}u^i$ since u^i is a simple eigenfunction. The fact that $L\mathrm{d}u^i = u^i\mathrm{d}u^i$ follows from the fact that L is torsionless.

To calculate the metric, first it follows that $g^{ij} = 0$ when $i \neq j$ since L is self-adjoint and has simple eigenfunctions. For the remaining component:

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ii} &= \left\langle \mathrm{d}u^i, \mathrm{d}u^i \right\rangle \\ &= (p'(u^i))^{-2} \left\langle (\mathrm{d}p)|_{z=u^i}, (\mathrm{d}p)|_{z=u^i} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$ ■

Remark 5.4
The assumption that L is a concircular tensor can be replaced with any symmetric contravariant tensor whose associated endomorphism is torsionless. □

The following lemma on determinants will be used several times.

Lemma 5.5
Suppose $T = A + v \otimes x$ where $A = [a_1, ..., a_n]$ is an $n \times n$ matrix, $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ (where $\mathbb{F}$ is $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$). Then $\det T$ *is given as follows:*

$$\det T = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}(a_i + x_i v) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i v \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \qquad \square$$

Proof The formula clearly holds for $n = 1$, so inductively suppose the formula holds for $k = n - 1$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}(a_i + x_i v) &= \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-1}(a_i + x_i v) \wedge (a_n + x_n v) \\
&= (\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i v \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{n-1}) \wedge (a_n + x_n v) \\
&= \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i v \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n + \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \wedge x_n v \\
&= \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i v \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n
\end{aligned}$$ ■

In the following sections, we will obtain the following information. First we will calculate the characteristic polynomial for CTs in spaces of constant curvature. Using this, for ICTs we will calculate the transformation from the canonical coordinates they induce to Cartesian coordinates, and we will calculate the metric in canonical coordinates.

5.1 Central Concircular tensors

The following general lemma will be used to calculate the characteristic polynomial of central CTs.

Lemma 5.6 (Determinant of Central Concircular tensors)
Suppose $L = A + r \otimes r^\flat$ is a central Concircular tensor, where $r^i = x^i$. Then,

$$\det L = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i r \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \tag{5.5}$$

Suppose U is a non-degenerate A-invariant subspace (hence $U^\perp$ is A-invariant), let $L_u = L|_U$ and $L_{u^\perp} = L|_{U^\perp}$, then:

$$\det L = \det L_u \det A_{u^\perp} + \det A_u(\det L_{u^\perp} - \det A_{u^\perp}) \tag{5.6}$$

Proof The first statement follows from Lemma 5.5 by taking $A \to A$, $r \to v$ and $r^\flat \to x$.

Now for the second part, let $k = \dim U$, then in a basis adapted to the decomposition $V = U \oplus U^\perp$, we have:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$

where B is a $k \times k$ matrix and C is a $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ matrix. Furthermore $r = r_b + r_c$ where $r_b \in U$ and $r_c \in U^\perp$. The main fact we use is that for any square matrix, T, of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$

we have $\det T = \det A \det C$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
\det L &= \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i r \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \\
&= \bigwedge_{i=1}^{k} b_i \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i + (\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i r_b \wedge \cdots \wedge b_k) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i \\
&\quad + \bigwedge_{i=1}^{k} b_i \wedge (\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} c_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i r_c \wedge \cdots \wedge c_{n-k}) \\
&= (\bigwedge_{i=1}^{k} b_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i r_b \wedge \cdots \wedge b_k) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i \\
&\quad + \bigwedge_{i=1}^{k} b_i \wedge (\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} c_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i r_c \wedge \cdots \wedge c_{n-k}) \\
&= \det L_u \det A_{u^\perp} + \det A_u(\det L_{u^\perp} - \det A_{u^\perp})
\end{aligned}$$

■

Now consider the simplest case where $A = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$. Then Eq. (5.5) can be used to get the characteristic polynomial of L, which is:

$$p(z) = \det(zI - L) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(z - \lambda_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i x^i \prod_{j \neq i}(z - \lambda_j) \tag{5.9}$$

Now suppose L is an ICT with eigenfunctions $(u^1, \dots, u^n)$, then from the above equation we have:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}(u^j - \lambda_i) = p(\lambda_i) = -\varepsilon_i (x^i)^2 \prod_{j\neq i}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$$

One can check that by assumption we must have $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ if $i \neq j$. This will eventually be proven later. Thus we deduce the transformation from the coordinates $(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ to Cartesian coordinates to be:

$$(x^i)^2 = \varepsilon_i \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(u^j - \lambda_i)}{\prod_{j\neq i}(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)} \tag{5.11}$$

The derivation of the transformation to Cartesian coordinates follows that of [Cra03, section 5]. We will use this method for all other types of CTs as well. Now, it will be useful to write the characteristic polynomial in standard form:

Proposition 5.7
Suppose L is a central CT with parameter matrix $A = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$ and arbitrary orthogonal metric. Write the characteristic polynomial of A as:

$$B(z) = \det(zI - A) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} a_l z^l \qquad \diamondsuit$$

Then the characteristic polynomial of L is:

$$p(z) = \det(zI - L) = \sum_{l=0}^{n}(a_l - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1-l} a_{j+1+l} \langle r, A^j r\rangle) z^l \tag{5.13}$$

Proof We will prove this formula by expanding Eq. (5.9). For the following calculations, if $a(z)$ is a polynomial in z, then $[z^l]a(z)$ is the coefficient of z^l in this polynomial. First observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
[z^l]\prod_j (z-\lambda_j) &= [z^l][z\prod_{j\neq i}(z-\lambda_j) - \lambda_i \prod_{j\neq i}(z-\lambda_j)] \\
&= [z^{l-1}]\prod_{j\neq i}(z-\lambda_j) - \lambda_i [z^l]\prod_{j\neq i}(z-\lambda_j) \\
\Rightarrow [z^{l-1}]\prod_{j\neq i}(z-\lambda_j) &= [z^l]\prod_j (z-\lambda_j) + \lambda_i [z^l]\prod_{j\neq i}(z-\lambda_j)
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$[z^{n-1}]\prod_{j\neq i}(z-\lambda_j) = 1$$

We will prove inductively that

$$[z^l]\prod_{j\neq i}(z-\lambda_j) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1-l}\lambda_i^j a_{j+1+l}$$

Then by inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[z^{l-1}]\prod_{j\neq i}(z-\lambda_j) &= a_l + \lambda_i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1-l}\lambda_i^j a_{j+1+l}\\ &= a_l + \sum_{j=1}^{n-l}\lambda_i^j a_{j+l}\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-l}\lambda_i^j a_{j+l}\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}[z^l]\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i x^i\prod_{j\neq i}(z-\lambda_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}g_{ii}(x^i)^2[z^l]\prod_{j\neq i}(z-\lambda_j)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}g_{ii}(x^i)^2\sum_{j=0}^{n-1-l}\lambda_i^j a_{j+1+l}\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1-l}a_{j+1+l}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g_{ii}(x^i)^2\lambda_i^j\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1-l}a_{j+1+l}\left\langle r, A^j r\right\rangle\end{aligned}$$

Which together with Eq. (5.9) proves the proposition. ∎

In the following theorem we collect a useful limiting procedure for dealing with Jordan blocks. It has been proven by Kalnins, Miller, and Reid in [KMR84] for general dimensions. We have independently verified it only for dimensions less than three. The details of this verification are only partially included in the following proof, which can be omitted without loss of continuity.

Theorem 5.8 ([KMR84])
Let $A_0 := J_n^T(\lambda_1)$ *and* $g_0 := \varepsilon S_n$. *For* $n \leq 3$, *there exists a sequence of diagonal matrices* $A := \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$, $g := \operatorname{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ *and transformation matrices* Λ *such that*

$$\Lambda^{-1}A\Lambda \to A_0 \qquad\qquad \Lambda^T g\Lambda \to g_0$$ □

PROOF First consider the following definitions:

$$\Lambda^i{}_j := \epsilon^{j-1}_{i+1-j} = \prod_{l=2}^{j}(\epsilon^1_{i-1} - \epsilon^1_{l-2}) \qquad \epsilon^k_l := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } k \leq 0 \end{cases}$$
$$a_i := \frac{\varepsilon}{\prod_{k\neq i}(\epsilon^1_{i-1} - \epsilon^1_{k-1})}$$

Note that ϵ^k_l is of order k if $k, l > 0$. Finally let $\lambda_i := \lambda_1 + \epsilon^1_{i-1}$. Then the conclusion follows by direct calculation if for each $i = 2, \ldots, n$, $\epsilon^1_i \to 0$. ∎

Now suppose L is a central CT with parameter matrix $A = J^T_k(0)$. We will use the above theorem to obtain this CT as a limit of central CTs with parameter matrix $A = \text{diag}(0, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k)$. The characteristic polynomial of these CTs is given by Eq. (5.13). In order to obtain the characteristic polynomial for a CT with $A = J^T_k(0)$ we will use the fact that the characteristic polynomial of $J^T_k(0)$ is z^k. Then starting with $A = \text{diag}(0, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k)$, by Eq. (5.13) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) &= \sum_{l=0}^{k}(a_l - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1-l} a_{j+1+l}\left\langle r, A^j r\right\rangle)z^l \\ &\to z^k - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1}\left\langle r, A^{k-1-l}r\right\rangle z^l \\ &= z^k - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1}\left\langle r, A^{k-1-l}r\right\rangle z^l \\ &= z^k - \varepsilon\sum_{l=0}^{k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} x^i x^{l+2-i} z^l \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proven part of the following:

Proposition 5.9
Suppose L is a central CT with parameter matrix $A = J^T_k(0)$ and metric $g = \varepsilon S_k$. Then the characteristic polynomial of L is:

$$p(z) = \det(zI - L) = z^k - \varepsilon\sum_{l=0}^{k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} x^i x^{l+2-i} z^l$$

Furthermore the following are true:

- *L has no constant eigenfunctions.*
- *If $T(z) = \frac{p(z)}{B(z)}$ and $k \leq 3$, then $\langle dT, dT\rangle = 4\frac{d}{dz}T(z)$* ♢

PROOF We first prove the case where A is a real Jordan block. To prove that L has no constant eigenfunctions, we differentiate an equation preceding this proposition to obtain:

$$\nabla p = -2\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} z^l A^{k-1-l} r$$

from which we see that $\langle e_k, \nabla p\rangle = -2\varepsilon z^{k-1}x^1$. Thus L cannot have a constant eigenfunction. The equation for $\langle \mathrm{d}T, \mathrm{d}T\rangle$ is proven as follows. When $A = \mathrm{diag}(0, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ one can easily prove the formula using Eq. (5.9). Then the formula for $A = J_k^T(0)$ follows by applying the limiting technique in Theorem 5.8 used above. Finally, for the case of a complex Jordan block, i.e. $A = J_k^T(\lambda)$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, note that these proofs hold by replacing $A \to A - \lambda I$ and $z \to z + \lambda$. ∎

Now one can use the second part of Lemma 5.6 to obtain the characteristic polynomial of any central CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Indeed, suppose L is a central CT with parameter matrix

$$A = J_k^T(0) \oplus \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_{k+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n) \qquad g = \varepsilon_0 S_k \oplus \mathrm{diag}(\varepsilon_{k+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)$$

We can apply Lemma 5.6 with U equal to the subspace corresponding to $J_k^T(0)$, then

$$p(z) = \det(zI - L) = (\prod_{i=k+1}^{n} y_i)\left(z^k - \varepsilon_0 \sum_{l=0}^{k-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} x^i x^{l+2-i}\right) z^l\right) - z^k(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} x_i x^i \prod_{j=k+1, j\neq i}^{n} y_j)$$

When L is an ICT, we can obtain a transformation from canonical coordinates to Cartesian coordinates. Our formula is motivated by one in [KMR84] and is given as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} x^i x^{l+2-i} = \frac{-\varepsilon_0}{l!}(\frac{d}{dz})^l(\frac{p(z)}{B_{u^\perp}(z)})\Big|_{z=0} \quad l = 0, \ldots, k-1 \tag{5.17a}$$

$$(x^i)^2 = -\varepsilon_i \frac{p(\lambda_i)}{B'(\lambda_i)} \qquad i = k+1, ..., n \tag{5.17b}$$

The following lemma will be used to obtain the metric in canonical coordinates adapted to an ICT defined in a space of constant curvature.

Lemma 5.10
Suppose L is a central CT with parameter matrix A. Let

$$T(z) = \frac{p(z)}{B(z)}$$

Then $\langle dT, dT\rangle = 4\frac{d}{dz}T(z)$. □

Proof We prove this by induction. The base cases are given by Proposition 5.9. Suppose U is a non-degenerate invariant subspace of A such that L_u has the form given by Proposition 5.9 and $U^\perp$ satisfies the induction hypothesis.

By Eq. (5.6) we can write:

$$p(z) = p_u(z)B_{u^\perp}(z) + B_u(z)(p_{u^\perp}(z) - B_{u^\perp}(z))$$

Then

$$\mathrm{d}p = B_{u^\perp}\mathrm{d}p_u + B_u\mathrm{d}p_{u^\perp}$$

Thus from the above equation, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{B} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}p_u}{B_u} + \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{u^\perp}}{B_{u^\perp}} \\
\Rightarrow \mathrm{d}T &= \mathrm{d}T_u + \mathrm{d}T_{u^\perp} \\
\Rightarrow \langle \mathrm{d}T, \mathrm{d}T\rangle &= \langle \mathrm{d}T_u, \mathrm{d}T_u\rangle + \langle \mathrm{d}T_{u^\perp}, \mathrm{d}T_{u^\perp}\rangle \\
&= 4\frac{d}{dz}T_u(z) + 4\frac{d}{dz}T_{u^\perp}(z) \\
&= 4\frac{d}{dz}T(z)
\end{aligned}$$

■

Examples We end this section with some separable coordinate systems induced by central ICTs which can be analyzed fairly easily. These examples are a natural generalization of those presented in [Cra03, section 5].

Example 5.11 (Generalization of elliptic coordinates to $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$)
Our first example is the central CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ with parameter matrix $A = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$ and orthogonal metric $g = (-1, \ldots, -1, 1, \ldots, 1)$. This CT is easiest to analyze if we assume $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_n$. Recall from Eq. (5.9), that the characteristic polynomial of L is:

$$p(z) = \det(zI - L) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i x^i \prod_{j\neq i} y_j$$

Using the above formula, one can show that L has no constant eigenfunctions (see the proof of Proposition 5.9). Then by Proposition 5.2, this CT is an ICT near any point where the eigenfunctions of L are simple. We will now show that L is an ICT in a dense subset of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. First note that

$$p(\lambda_i) = -\varepsilon_i (x^i)^2 \prod_{j\neq i}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \tag{5.22}$$

Assume each $x^i \neq 0$, then from Equation 5.22, we find that $\operatorname{sgn} p(\lambda_i) = \varepsilon_i (-1)^{n+1-i}$. Also since the coefficient of leading degree of $p(z)$ is z^n, we find that $\lim_{z\to\infty} p(z) = 1$ and $\lim_{z\to-\infty} p(z) = (-1)^n$. Since by assumption we have that $\varepsilon_n = 1$, we can use the intermediate value theorem to deduce the following about the roots of $p(z)$. If $\nu = 0$ (i.e. in Euclidean space), there are n distinct roots $u^1, ..., u^n$ satisfying:

$$\lambda_1 < u^1 < \lambda_2 < u^2 \cdots < \lambda_n < u^n$$

If $\nu > 0$ then there are n distinct roots $u^1, ..., u^n$ satisfying:

$$u^1 < \lambda_1 < u^2 \cdots < u^\nu < \lambda_\nu < \lambda_{\nu+1} < u^{\nu+1} < \lambda_{\nu+2} < u^{\nu+2} \cdots < \lambda_n < u^n \tag{5.24}$$

Hence L is an IC-tensor on an open dense subset of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$; because of this property one could consider the induced separable coordinates to be a generalization of elliptic coordinates. Since $p(\lambda_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{n}(\lambda_i - u^j)$, by Equation (5.22), we can obtain the Cartesian coordinates in terms of the separable coordinates $u^1, ..., u^n$

$$(x^i)^2 = \varepsilon_i \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(u^j - \lambda_i)}{\prod_{j\neq i}(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)}$$

By using Eq. (5.24) and Proposition 5.15, one can check that in the separable coordinates $(u^1, \ldots, u^n)$, for $1 \leq i \leq \nu$, $\operatorname{sgn} g^{ii} = \frac{(-1)^{n-i+1}}{(-1)^{n-i}} = -1$. Hence $\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_\nu$ are timelike vector fields and the remaining ones are spacelike. □

We now show that if we relax the condition that $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n$ in the above example then the coordinate system may no longer be defined on a dense subset of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Although one should note that in $\mathbb{E}^n$ that condition was not restrictive. The simplest case occurs in $\mathbb{E}^2_1$.

Example 5.12
Consider a central CT L in $\mathbb{E}^2_1$ with parameter matrix $A = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ where $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ and orthogonal metric $g = \operatorname{diag}(-1, 1)$. Denote Cartesian coordinates by (t, x). In this

case the characteristic polynomial of L, $p(z)$, given by Eq. (5.13) reduces to:

$$p(z) = z^2 + (2(t^2 - x^2) - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2)z - 2t^2\lambda_2 + 2x^2\lambda_1 + \lambda_1\lambda_2$$

One can calculate the discriminant of this polynomial to be:

$$4\left((t-x)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{2}\right)\left((t+x)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{2}\right)$$

If we define new Cartesian coordinates (y^1, y^2) by:

$$y^1 := \sqrt{2}(t-x) \qquad\qquad y^2 := \sqrt{2}(t+x)$$

and we let $e := \sqrt{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$, then L is a Benenti tensor on the following connected regions:

Region	(u^1, u^2)
N	$y^1 > e, y^2 < -e$
E	$y^1, y^2 > e$
S	$y^1 < -e, y^2 > e$
W	$y^1, y^2 > -e$
C	$\left\|y^1\right\|, \left\|y^2\right\| < e$

Hence the regions are separated by the lightlike lines $\left|y^i\right| = e$. Thus as claimed the associated separable coordinate systems aren't defined on a dense subset.

One can also find the coordinate domains as follows. Suppose L is an ICT with eigenfunctions $u^1 < u^2$. Then by requiring that the metric in these coordinates given by Proposition 5.15 to be Lorentzian, one finds the following constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} u^1 < u^2 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 < \lambda_1 < u^1 < u^2 \\ \lambda_2 < u^1 < u^2 < \lambda_1 \\ u^1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_1 < u^2 \end{aligned}$$

The above inequalities shown that in the subset where L is a Benenti tensor, if the eigenfunctions transition from one coordinate domain to another then one of the eigenfunctions must take the value λ_1 or λ_2. Hence the transition manifolds are solutions of $p(\lambda_i) = 0$, i.e. by Eq. (5.9) where $(x^i)^2 = 0$. In this case, the eigenfunctions of L can be readily calculated:

$$\begin{aligned} t = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1, \lambda_2 + x^2 \\ x = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 - t^2, \lambda_2 \end{aligned}$$

Using the values of the eigenfunctions on these subsets and their possible ranges given in Eq. (5.28) one can deduce the following:

(y^1, y^2)	(u^1, u^2)
E, W	$u^1 < u^2 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_1$
N,S	$\lambda_2 < \lambda_1 < u^1 < u^2$
C	$\lambda_2 < u^1 < u^2 < \lambda_1$

Together with Eq. (5.11), this completes the analysis of these coordinate systems.□

Even in three dimensions, the above analysis becomes much more difficult. This is because in three dimensions one can show that the discriminant is an eight degree polynomial in the coordinates with many terms. However, we note two simplifications that could be made for the general case. First by transferring to a geometrically equivalent CT, we could assume one of the eigenvalues of A is zero. Secondly since the characteristic polynomial of L, given by Eq. (5.9) only depends on the quantities $(x^i)^2$ and not x^i explicitly, one can restrict the analysis to the quadrant where each $x^i > 0$ without losing generality. This symmetry is a consequence of the non-uniqueness of the chosen basis, in particular due to the fact that if v is an eigenvector of A then so is $-v$.

5.2 Axial Concircular tensors

Proposition 5.13
Let L be an axial CT with parameter matrix $A = J_k(0)^T$ and metric $g = \varepsilon S_k$. Then

$$p(z) = \det(zI - L) = z^k + \sum_{l=2}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} x^{k+1+i-l} x^{k+1-i} z^{k-l} - 2\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^{k-i+1} z^{k-i} \tag{5.29}$$

Furthermore the following are true:

- *L has no constant eigenfunctions.*
- *If $k \leq 3$, then $\langle dp, dp \rangle = 4\varepsilon \dfrac{d}{dz} p(z)$.* ♢

Proof We first outline how one proves the above formula for $p(z)$. It is sufficient to calculate $\det L$ when L has the parameter matrix $A = J_k(\lambda)^T$. Let $\tilde{A} = [\tilde{a_1}, ..., \tilde{a_n}] := A + \varepsilon r \otimes e_k$. Then applying Lemma 5.5 to $L = \tilde{A} + e_1 \otimes r^\flat$ gives:

$$\det L = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{a_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{a_n}$$

After expanding r and e_1 in the basis $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$ and simplifying, the result then follows by a straightforward but tedious calculation.

Suppose the above formula for $p(z)$ holds. We now show that L has no constant eigenfunctions. The constant term of $\mathrm{d}p$ is:

$$-2\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{k} z^{k-i} \mathrm{d}x^{k-i+1}$$

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $p(\lambda) \equiv 0$, then the above form must be identically zero. A contradiction, hence L has no constant eigenfunctions.

The formula involving $\langle \mathrm{d}p, \mathrm{d}p \rangle$ can be checked manually for the cases $k \leq 3$. ■

The following proposition will reduce the calculation of the characteristic polynomial for general axial concircular tensors to cases already considered.

Proposition 5.14 (Determinant of Axial Concircular tensors) *Suppose L is an axial CT in canonical form given as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} L &= A + e_1 \otimes r^\flat + r \otimes e_1^\flat \\ A &= A_d \oplus A_c \end{aligned} \qquad \diamondsuit$$

where $A_d = J_k^T(\lambda)$. Then $p(z) = \det(zI - L)$ is given as follows:

$$p(z) = p_d(z)B(z) + \varepsilon(p_c(z) - B(z)) \tag{5.32}$$

Proof First note that it is sufficient to calculate $\det L$. Write $r = r_d + r_c$ adapted to the decomposition $\mathbb{E}_\nu^n = D \oplus D^\perp$ where D is the A-invariant subspace generated by e_1. Then

$$L = L_d + A_c + e_1 \otimes (r_c)^\flat + r_c \otimes e_1^\flat$$

where L_d is L restricted to D and A_c is A restricted to $D^\perp$. Let $\tilde{L} = L_d + A_c + e_1 \otimes (r_c)^\flat$, then applying Lemma 5.5 to $L = \tilde{L} + \varepsilon r_c \otimes e_k$ gives:

$$\det L = \det \tilde{L} + \varepsilon \tilde{L}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge r_c \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{L}_n \tag{5.34}$$

where r_c appears in the kth position. Note that in block diagonal form

$$\tilde{L} = \begin{pmatrix} L_d & e_1 \otimes (r_c)^\flat \\ 0 & A_c \end{pmatrix}$$

Then after applying Lemma 5.5 once more, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge r_c \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{L}_n &= \bigwedge_{i=1}^{k-1} (L_d)_i \wedge r_c \wedge \Big(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} a_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \Big) \\
&= - \bigwedge_{i=1}^{k-1} (L_d)_i \wedge e_1 \wedge \Big(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} a_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i r_c \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \Big) \\
&= - \bigwedge_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i \wedge e_1 \wedge \Big(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} a_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i r_c \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \Big) \\
&= (-1)^k e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k \wedge \Big(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} a_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i r_c \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \Big) \\
&= (-1)^k (\det(L_c) - \det(A_c))
\end{aligned}$$

where the second last equation follows by expanding e_1 in the basis $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$. The result then follows by Eq. (5.34). ∎

One can use Proposition 5.14 to obtain the characteristic polynomial of any axial CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. This is done as in the example in the discussion following Proposition 5.9. As an example, we will calculate the Cartesian coordinates for a non-null axial CT (i.e. $k = 1$). Indeed, suppose L is a non-null axial ICT with eigenfunctions $(u^1, \ldots, u^n)$. Let $A_c = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$, then from Eq. (5.32) and Eq. (5.29), we see that

$$p(z) = \det(zI - L) = (\prod_{i=2}^{n} y_i)(z - 2\varepsilon x^1) - \varepsilon(\sum_{i=2}^{n} x_i x^i \prod_{j=2, j\neq i}^{n} y_j)$$

where $y_i = z - \lambda_i$. Since $p(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (z - u^i)$, we can deduce the transformation from the coordinates $(u^1, \ldots, u^n)$ to Cartesian coordinates as follows. By evaluating $p(\lambda_i)$, we get

$$(x^i)^2 = -\varepsilon_i \varepsilon \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n} (u^j - \lambda_i)}{\prod_{j\geq 2, j\neq i} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)} \qquad i = 2, ..., n \tag{5.37}$$

By taking the coefficient of z^{n-1} of $p(z)$, we get:

$$x^1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(u^1 + \cdots + u^n - \lambda_2 - \cdots - \lambda_n) \tag{5.38}$$

In conclusion, we note that this procedure can be generalized for $k \geq 2$.

Observe that Eq. (5.32) holds for a central CT if we define $p_d(z) \equiv 1$ in this case. We will use Eq. (5.32) and Lemma 5.10 to obtain the metric in canonical coordinates for some ICTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. We have the following:

Proposition 5.15 (ICT metrics in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$)
Suppose L is an ICT in Euclidean or Minkowski space in canonical form with eigenfunctions $(u^1, \dots, u^n)$. Then the metric in adapted coordinates is orthogonal and

$$g_{ii} = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\frac{p'(u^i)}{B(u^i)} = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\frac{\prod_{j\neq i}(u^i - u^j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-k}(u^i - \lambda_j)}$$

where ε is the sign associated with L and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-k}$ are the roots of $B(z)$. □

Remark 5.16
The above formula likely holds in general (see [KMR84]) but we haven't verified it for null axial CTs when $k > 3$. □

Proof Let $T(z) := \frac{p(z)}{B(z)}$, $S(z) = p_d(z)$ and $\tilde{T}(z) := \frac{p_c(z)}{B(z)}$, then Eq. (5.32) implies:

$$\mathrm{d}T = \varepsilon \mathrm{d}\tilde{T} + \mathrm{d}S$$

Also recall that in these spaces, the index $k \leq 3$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathrm{d}T, \mathrm{d}T\rangle &= \mathrm{d}T(\nabla T)\\
&= \left\langle \mathrm{d}\tilde{T}, \mathrm{d}\tilde{T}\right\rangle + \langle \mathrm{d}S, \mathrm{d}S\rangle\\
&= 4\frac{d}{dz}\tilde{T}(z) + 4\varepsilon\frac{d}{dz}S(z) \quad \text{by Lemma 5.10 and Proposition 5.13}\\
&= 4\varepsilon\frac{d}{dz}(\varepsilon\tilde{T}(z) + S(z))\\
&\overset{(5.32)}{=} 4\varepsilon\frac{d}{dz}\frac{p(z)}{B(z)}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\langle (\mathrm{d}p)|_{z=u^i}, (\mathrm{d}p)|_{z=u^i}\rangle}{B(u^i)^2} &= 4\varepsilon\frac{d}{dz}\frac{p(z)}{B(z)}\Big|_{z=u^i}\\
&= 4\varepsilon\frac{p'(u^i)}{B(u^i)}
\end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 5.3 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ii} &= \frac{\langle (\mathrm{d}p)|_{z=u^i}, (\mathrm{d}p)|_{z=u^i} \rangle}{p'(u^i)^2} \\ &= 4\varepsilon \frac{B(u^i)}{p'(u^i)} \\ &= 4\varepsilon \frac{\prod_{j=k+1}^{n} (u^i - \lambda_j)}{\prod_{j \neq i} (u^i - u^j)} \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 5.17
The above technique for calculating the metric is based on Moser's calculation of the metric for sphere-elliptic coordinates in [Mos11, P. 179-180]. □

Corollary 5.18
Suppose L is a non-degenerate CT in Euclidean or Minkowski space in canonical form. Then the points at which a real eigenvalue of A_c is an eigenvalue of L are singular, i.e. L cannot be an ICT in any neighborhood of these points. □

5.3 Concircular tensors in Spherical Submanifolds of pseudo-Euclidean space

In this section we treat the case of CTs defined on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. We will be able to reduce most calculations to similar ones involving central CTs. The following proposition will allow us to do this.

Proposition 5.19 (Determinant of Spherical CTs)
Suppose $L = RL_cR^*$ is a CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\frac{1}{r^2})$, the following holds:

$$p(z) = \det(zR - L + \frac{r \otimes r^\flat}{r^2}) = r^{-2}(B(z) - p_c(z)) \tag{5.41}$$

Proof It is sufficient to prove that:

$$\det(L + \frac{r \otimes r^\flat}{r^2}) = r^{-2}(\det L_c - \det A)$$

Observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} L + \frac{r \otimes r^\flat}{r^2} &= AR + \frac{[(r \cdot A \cdot r) + r^2]}{r^4} r \otimes r^\flat - \frac{1}{r^2} r \otimes r^\flat \cdot A \\ &= AR + r \otimes d \end{aligned}$$

for some vector d and

$$AR = A - \frac{1}{r^2} Ar \otimes r^\flat$$

Let b_i be the columns of AR, then by Lemma 5.5 we have

$$\det(L + \frac{r \otimes r^\flat}{r^2}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} b_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d_i r \wedge \cdots \wedge b_n$$

Now observe that

$$0 = \det L = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} b_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge (d_i - \frac{x_i}{r^2}) r \wedge \cdots \wedge b_n$$

Thus

$$\det(L + \frac{r \otimes r^\flat}{r^2}) = \frac{1}{r^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i r \wedge \cdots \wedge b_n \tag{5.43}$$

Now, again using Lemma 5.5, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge r \wedge \cdots \wedge b_n &= (-1)^{i-1} r \wedge b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{b}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge b_n \\ &= (-1)^{i-1} r \wedge (a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{a}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n - r^{-2} \sum_{j \neq i} a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_j Ar \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the term $\hat{b}_i$, means b_i is missing from the product. Also note that for $i \neq j$

$$(-1)^{i-1} x_i r \wedge a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_j Ar \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n = -(-1)^{j-1} x_j r \wedge a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i Ar \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \det(L + \frac{r \otimes r^\flat}{r^2}) &\overset{(5.43)}{=} r^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i r \wedge \cdots \wedge b_n \\ &= r^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i r \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \\ &= r^{-2}(\det(A + r \otimes r^\flat) - \det A) \end{aligned}$$

■

Using Eq. (5.41), for ICTs, the transformation from canonical coordinates to Cartesian coordinates can be calculated using the standard method. Indeed, if L is an ICT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\frac{1}{r^2})$ with parameter matrix:

$$A = J_k^T(0) \oplus \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_{k+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n) \qquad g = \varepsilon_0 S_k \oplus \mathrm{diag}(\varepsilon_{k+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)$$

Then by a calculation almost identical to the one used to derive Eqs. (5.17a) and (5.17b), one obtains the following now using Eq. (5.41):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} x^i x^{l+2-i} = \frac{r^2\varepsilon_0}{l!}\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^l \left(\frac{p(z)}{B_{u^\perp}(z)}\right)\Big|_{z=0} \quad l = 0, \ldots, k-1 \tag{5.45a}$$

$$(x^i)^2 = r^2\varepsilon_i \frac{p(\lambda_i)}{B'(\lambda_i)} \qquad i = k+1, ..., n \tag{5.45b}$$

The transformation from canonical coordinates $(u^1, \ldots, u^{n-1})$ to Cartesian coordinates are obtained by noting that $p(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(z - u^i)$.

Example 5.20 (Circular coordinates)
Let $M = \mathbb{E}^2_\nu(\kappa)$ where $\kappa = \pm 1$. Consider the CT in M with parameter matrix:

$$A = \mathrm{diag}(0, 1) \qquad g = \mathrm{diag}(\kappa_1, \varepsilon) \quad \kappa_1, \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$$

Then by Eqs. (5.45a) and (5.45b), Cartesian coordinates (x, y) are given by:

$$x^2 = \kappa\kappa_1 u$$
$$y^2 = \kappa\varepsilon(1 - u)$$

We now show how to obtain the standard parameterizations of these coordinates. First note that by metric-Jordan canonical form theory, there are three isometrically inequivalent cases[5]:

Case 1 $\kappa_1 = \kappa$ and $\varepsilon = \kappa$, thus $g = \mathrm{diag}(\kappa, \kappa)$

If we take $u = \cos^2(t)$, then we obtain:

$$x^2 = \cos^2(t)$$
$$y^2 = \sin^2(t)$$

[5]Note that these cases additionally depend on ν.

Case 2 $\kappa_1 = \kappa$ and $\varepsilon = -\kappa$, thus $g = \mathrm{diag}(\kappa, -\kappa)$

If we take $u = \cosh^2(t)$, then we obtain:

$$x^2 = \cosh^2(t)$$
$$y^2 = \sinh^2(t)$$

Case 3 $\kappa_1 = -\kappa$ and $\varepsilon = \kappa$, thus $g = \mathrm{diag}(-\kappa, \kappa)$

If we take $u = -\sinh^2(t)$, then we obtain:

$$x^2 = \sinh^2(t)$$
$$y^2 = \cosh^2(t)$$

Although the last two cases are geometrically equivalent, it will be useful to distinguish them when we move on to reducible CTs. □

Also using Eq. (5.41), one can obtain the metric in ICT induced coordinates.

Proposition 5.21 (ICT metrics in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$)
Suppose L is an ICT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\frac{1}{r^2})$ with eigenfunctions $(u^1, \ldots, u^{n-1})$. Then the metric in adapted coordinates is orthogonal and

$$g_{ii} = \frac{-r^2}{4}\frac{p'(u^i)}{B(u^i)} = \frac{-r^2}{4}\frac{\prod_{j\neq i}(u^i - u^j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(u^i - \lambda_j)}$$

where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the roots of $B(z)$. □

Proof We reduce this calculation to the corresponding one for L_c using Eq. (5.41). We assume that L is an ICT with eigenfunctions $(u^1, \ldots, u^{n-1})$ in some neighborhood in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\frac{1}{r^2})$.

Now if we let $\tilde{d}$ denote the exterior derivative on the sphere, note that

$$\tilde{\mathrm{d}}p = R^* \mathrm{d}p$$

Now we make the following observation.

$$\left\langle \mathrm{d}p, r^\flat \right\rangle = \nabla_r p = 0$$

This can be proven, for example, by using Eq. (5.5) and the fact that r is a CV. Note that the above equation also implies that $\left\langle \mathrm{d}p_c, r^\flat \right\rangle = -2r^2 p$.

Hence we see that

$$\left\langle \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p, \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p \right\rangle = \langle \mathrm{d}p, \mathrm{d}p \rangle$$

Thus at a root $z = u^i$, we have

$$\left\langle \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p, \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p \right\rangle = r^{-4} \langle \mathrm{d}p_c, \mathrm{d}p_c \rangle$$

Then at $z = u^i$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left\langle \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p, \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p \right\rangle}{B^2} &= \frac{r^{-4} \langle \mathrm{d}p_c, \mathrm{d}p_c \rangle}{B^2} \\ &\overset{5.10}{=} 4r^{-4} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{p_c(z)}{B(z)} \bigg|_{z=u^i} \\ &= -4r^{-2} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{p(z)}{B(z)} \bigg|_{z=u^i} \\ &= -4r^{-2} \frac{p'(u^i)}{B(u^i)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus Proposition 5.21 follows from the above equation and Proposition 5.3. ∎

6 Classification of reducible concircular tensors

In this section, we will show how to find a warped product which "decomposes"[6] a given reducible OCT defined in a space of constant curvature. First we will prove a generic result which will allow us to construct reducible OCTs. Then in the next two sections, we will apply this result to pseudo-Euclidean space, then to spherical submanifolds of pseudo-Euclidean space.

The following proposition will give us a useful characterization of reducible OCTs in terms of their irreducible part. Its proof, which is based on theorem 6.1 in [RM14b], can be omitted without lose of continuity.

Proposition 6.1 (Characterization of Reducible OCTs)
Suppose $L \in S^2(M)$ is an orthogonal tensor. Then L is a reducible OCT iff there exists a warped product decomposition $M = M_0 \times_{\rho_1} M_1 \times \cdots \times_{\rho_k} M_k$ with adapted contravariant metric $G = \sum_{i=0}^{k} G_i$ such that L has the following contravariant form:

$$L = \tilde{L} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i G_i \qquad \diamondsuit$$

where each $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{L} \in \hat{S}^2(M_0)$ is the canonical lift (see [RM14b]) of an ICT $\tilde{L} \in S^2(M_0)$ satisfying the following equation on M_0 for each $i > 0$

$$\tilde{L}(d \log \rho_i) = d(\lambda_i \log \rho_i + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{L})) \tag{6.2}$$

[6] This amounts to partially diagonalizing these CTs.

PROOF Suppose L is an OCT. Let $D_1, \ldots, D_l$ be the eigenspaces of L associated with constant eigenfunctions and let $M = M_0 \times_{\rho_1} M_1 \times \cdots \times_{\rho_k} M_k$ be a warped product adapted to $(\bigcap_{i=1}^{l} D_i^{\perp}, D_1, \ldots, D_l)$ which exists by theorem 6.1 in [RM14b]. We define $\tilde{L}$ to be the restriction of L to M_0; it follows by theorem 6.1 that $\tilde{L}$ is an ICT in M_0. It also follows by theorem 6.1 that we can assume

$$\rho_i^2 = \prod_a |\lambda_i - \lambda_a| \tag{6.3}$$

where a ranges over all eigenfunctions of $\tilde{L}$. If $\dim M_0 = 0$, i.e. L induces a pseudo-Riemannian product, the conclusion follows. Otherwise, since λ_i is constant and because $\tilde{L}$ is torsionless, we see that on M_0

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}(\mathrm{d}\log\rho_i) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_a \lambda_a \mathrm{d}\log|\lambda_i - \lambda_a| \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_a \lambda_a \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda_a}{\lambda_a - \lambda_i} \\
&= \frac{\lambda_i}{2}\sum_a \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda_a}{\lambda_a - \lambda_i} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_a \mathrm{d}\lambda_a \\
&= \mathrm{d}(\lambda_i \log\rho_i + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\tilde{L}))
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, it is easily checked that if $\tilde{L}$ is an ICT and ρ_i satisfies the above equation, then $c\rho_i$ must satisfy Eq. (6.3) for some $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Hence it follows that L defined in the statement is torsionless and then by theorem 6.1 in [RM14b] that L is a reducible OCT. ■

In the following sections we will use the above proposition to classify reducible OCTs in spaces of constant curvature. But first we will need the following definition.

Definition 6.2
Suppose L is a CT in M and let $N = N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \times \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k$ be a local warped product decomposition of M passing through $\bar{p} \in N \subseteq M$. We say L is *decomposable* in this warped product if for each $p \in N$ and $i > 0$, $T_p N_i$ is an invariant subspace for L. □

6.1 In pseudo-Euclidean space

We first need to review the standard warped product decompositions of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. All other warped product decompositions of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ can be built up from the standard ones. Our exposition is based on the article by Nolker [Nol96]. More details are given in [Raj14, Appendix D] where the standard warped products of spaces of constant curvature are given, generalizing results originally given in [Nol96].

Consider the following decomposition $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu = V_0 \oplus V_1$ of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ into nontrivial (hence non-degenerate) subspaces. Choose $a \in V_0 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\bar{p} \in V_0$ such that $\langle a, \bar{p}\rangle = 1$. Denote $\kappa := a^2$ and $\epsilon := \operatorname{sgn}\kappa$. We have two types of warped products:

non-null warped decomposition If $\kappa \neq 0$, let $W_0 := V_0 \cap a^\perp$ and $W_1 := W_0^\perp$. Let $c = \bar{p} - \frac{a}{\kappa}$ and

$$N_1 = c + \{p \in W_1 \mid p^2 = \frac{1}{\kappa}\}$$

null warped decomposition If $\kappa = 0$, then a is lightlike, so fix another lightlike vector $b \in V_0$ such that $\langle a, b\rangle = 1$, let $W_0 := V_0 \cap \operatorname{span}\{a, b\}^\perp$ and $W_1 := V_1$. Let

$$N_1 = \bar{p} + \{p - \frac{1}{2}p^2 a \mid p \in W_1\}$$

In each case, we say that N_1 is the sphere determined by $(\bar{p}, V_1, a)$. For $i = 0, 1$, let $P_i : \mathbb{E}^n_\nu \to W_i$ be the orthogonal projection. Let

$$N_0 = \{p \in V_0 | \, \langle a, p\rangle > 0\}$$

$$\rho : \begin{cases} N_0 & \to \mathbb{R}_+ \\ p_0 & \mapsto \langle a, p_0\rangle \end{cases}$$

Then the following holds:

Theorem 6.3 (Standard Warped Products in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ [Nol96])
The map

$$\psi : \begin{cases} N_0 \times_\rho N_1 & \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu \\ (p_0, p_1) & \mapsto p_0 + \rho(p_0)(p_1 - \overline{p}) \end{cases}$$

is an isometry onto the following set:

$$\operatorname{Im}(\psi) = \begin{cases} \{p \in \mathbb{E}^n_\nu \mid \operatorname{sgn}(P_1 p)^2 = \epsilon\} & \textit{non-null case} \\ \{p \in \mathbb{E}^n_\nu \mid \langle a, p\rangle > 0\} & \textit{null case} \end{cases}$$ □

Furthermore, the following equation holds:

$$\psi(p_0, p_1)^2 = p_0^2 \tag{6.10}$$

Proof See [Raj14, Appendix D]. ■

In fact, for $(p_0, p_1) \in N_0 \times N_1$, ψ has one of the following forms, first if ψ is non-null:

$$\psi(p_0, p_1) = P_0 p_0 + \langle a, p_0 \rangle (p_1 - c) \tag{6.11}$$

and if ψ is null:

$$\psi(p_0, p_1) = P_0 p_0 + (\langle b, p_0 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, p_0 \rangle (P_1 p_1)^2) a + \langle a, p_0 \rangle b + \langle a, p_0 \rangle P_1 p_1 \tag{6.12}$$

The above forms are obtained from the equation for ψ from the above theorem by expanding p_0 in an appropriate basis. The warped product decomposition ψ is completely determined by the fact that $\psi(\bar{p}, \bar{p}) = \bar{p}$, N_1 is a spherical submanifold of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ with $\bar{p} \in N_1$, $T_{\bar{p}} N_1 = V_1$ and mean curvature normal $-a$ at $\bar{p}$ (see [Nol96] or [Raj14, Appendix D]). The point $\bar{p}$ was restricted so that the warped product is in *canonical form* (see [Raj14, Appendix D]); we will make this assumption throughout this article. We call ψ the warped product decomposition (of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$) determined by $(\bar{p}; V_0 \oplus V_1; a)$; often we omit the point $\bar{p}$ as it doesn't enter calculations, in this case the warped product is assumed to be in canonical form.

We note that the warped products with multiple spherical factors can be obtained using the standard ones described above. Indeed, suppose $\phi_1 : N_0' \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ is the warped product decomposition determined by $(\bar{p}; V_0 \oplus V_1; a_1)$ as above. Since V_0 is pseudo-Euclidean, consider a warped product decomposition, $\phi_2 : \tilde{N}_0 \times_{\rho_2} N_2 \to V_0$, determined by $(\bar{p}; \tilde{V}_0 \oplus \tilde{V}_1; a_2)$ with $V_0 \cap W_0^\perp \subset \tilde{W}_0$ (hence $a_1 \in \tilde{W}_0$). Note that $\tilde{W}_0$ is the subspace W_0 from the above construction for ϕ_2. Let $N_0 := N_0' \cap \tilde{N}_0$, then one can check that the map ψ defined by:

$$\psi : \begin{cases} N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \times_{\rho_2} N_2 & \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu \\ (p_0, p_1, p_2) & \mapsto \phi_1(\phi_2(p_0, p_2), p_1) \end{cases}$$

is a warped product decomposition of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. We illustrate this construction with an example.

Example 6.4 (Constructing multiply warped products)
Suppose ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(p_0', p_1) &= P_0' p_0' + \langle a_1, p_0' \rangle (p_1 - c_1) \\ \phi_2(\tilde{p}_0, p_2) &= \tilde{P}_0 \tilde{p}_0 + \langle a_2, \tilde{p}_0 \rangle (p_2 - c_2) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\rho_1(\phi_2(\tilde{p}_0, p_2)) = \rho_1(\tilde{p}_0)$, which follows from the above equation for ϕ_2 and the fact that $a_1 \in \tilde{W}_0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p_0, p_1, p_2) &= \phi_1(\phi_2(p_0, p_2), p_1) \\ &= P_0' \phi_2(p_0, p_2) + \langle a_1, \phi_2(p_0, p_2) \rangle (p_1 - c_1) \\ &= P_0' \tilde{P}_0 p_0 + \langle a_2, p_0 \rangle (p_2 - c_2) + \langle a_1, p_0 \rangle (p_1 - c_1) \end{aligned}$$

where $P_0'\tilde{P}_0$ is the orthogonal projector onto $\tilde{W}_0 \cap W_0 = \tilde{V}_0 \cap \operatorname{span}\{a_1, a_2\}^\perp$. □

This procedure can be repeated as many times as necessary to obtain more general warped products. In general, for some $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, suppose we have a decomposition $T_{\bar{p}}\mathbb{E}^n_\nu = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k} V_i$ into non-trivial subspaces (hence non-degenerate) with $k \geq 1$ and linearly independent pair-wise orthogonal vectors $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in V_0 \setminus \{0\}$. Furthermore we will assume the warped product is in canonical form, so $\bar{p} \in V_0$ and $\langle a_i, \bar{p}\rangle = 1$ for each i. This data determines a warped product decomposition ψ, having the following form [Raj14, Appendix D]:

$$\psi : \begin{cases} N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \times \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k & \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu \\ (p_0, ..., p_k) & \mapsto p_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho_i(p_0)(p_i - \overline{p}) \end{cases} \tag{6.14}$$

where $\rho_i(p_0) = \langle a_i, p_0\rangle$ and N_i is the sphere determined by $(\bar{p}, V_i, a_i)$. This general formula is originally from [Nol96, theorem 7]. We call ψ the warped product decomposition (of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$) determined by $(\bar{p}; \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k} V_i; a_1, ..., a_k)$. One can more generally let some of the a_i be zero, this results in Cartesian products as done in [Nol96]. Since we assume the a_i are non-zero, we say additionally that ψ is a *proper* warped product decomposition. Finally, note that the properties of the more general warped product decompositions of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ can be deduced from Theorem 6.3.

Now suppose $N = N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \times \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k$ is a warped product and $\tilde{L}$ is a CT in N_0. We say $\tilde{L}$ can be *extended to a CT in* N if $\tilde{L}$ satisfies Eq. (6.2) for each i with some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Assuming $\tilde{L}$ is an OCT, then Proposition 6.1 allows one to define a CT on N which restricts to $\tilde{L}$ on N_0. The following lemma will be our main tool for classifying reducible concircular tensors.

Lemma 6.5
Fix a proper warped product decomposition $(V_0 \oplus V_1; a)$ of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ and let $L^i{}_j = A^i{}_j + mx^i x_j + w^i x_j + x^i w_j$ be a concircular tensor in N_0. Then L can be extended to concircular tensor in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ decomposable in this warped product iff a is an eigenvector of A orthogonal to w. □

Proof First observe

$$\begin{aligned} v^k \nabla_k \operatorname{tr}(L) &= v^k \nabla_k (m x_i x^i + 2 x^i w_i) \\ &= m[(v^k \nabla_k x_i) x^i + x_i (v^k \nabla_k x^i)] + 2[(v^k \nabla_k w_i) x^i + w_i (v^k \nabla_k x^i)] \\ &= m(v_i x^i + x_i v^i) + 2 v^i w_i \\ &= 2m v^i x_i + 2 v^i w_i \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\nabla^i \operatorname{tr}(L) = 2(mx^i + w^i)$. Now let $\rho = a^i x_i = \langle a, x\rangle > 0$, then one can similarly show that

$$\nabla^i \log \rho = \frac{a^i}{\rho}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} L^i{}_j \nabla^j \log\rho - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^i \operatorname{tr}(L) &= \frac{1}{\rho}(A^i{}_j a^j + mx^i x_j a^j + w^i x_j a^j + x^i w_j a^j) - mx^i - w^i \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho}(A^i{}_j a^j + x^i w_j a^j) + \frac{1}{\rho}(mx^i\rho + w^i\rho) - mx^i - w^i \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho}(A^i{}_j a^j + x^i w_j a^j) \end{aligned}$$

By definition, L can be extended to a CT decomposable in this warped product iff $L^i{}_j \nabla^j \log\rho - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^i \operatorname{tr}(L) \in \operatorname{span}\{\nabla^i \log\rho\}$. The above equation implies that this happens iff a is an eigenvector of A and $a \in w^\perp$. ■

We now use the above lemma to construct reducible CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$.

Proposition 6.6 (Constructing Reducible CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$)
Fix a proper warped product decomposition $(V_0 \oplus V_1; a)$ of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ and let $\tilde{L} = \tilde{A} + m\tilde{r}\odot\tilde{r} + 2\tilde{r}\odot\tilde{w}$ be a concircular tensor in N_0 (in contravariant form) which can be extended to a concircular tensor L in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ via the above lemma. Since $N_0 \subset V_0 \subset \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, we can consider $\tilde{L}$ to be a tensor in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Then L is given as follows:

$$L = A + mr\odot r + 2r\odot\tilde{w}$$

where as a linear operator, $A = \tilde{A} + \lambda I_{V_1}$, where λ is the eigenvalue of $\tilde{A}$ associated with a and I_{V_1} is the identity on V_1. ♢

Proof Throughout the proof, G is the contravariant metric for $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ and this metric adapted to the warped product is given as follows:

$$G = G' + \frac{1}{\rho^2}G_1$$

The non-null case: In this case $\kappa_1 := a^2 = \pm 1$. Let $m := \dim V_0$ and choose an orthonormal basis for V_0, $\{a_1, ..., a_m\}$ with $a_m = a$.

First note that for $p = (p_0, p_1) \in N_0 \times N_1$ and $v = (v_0, v_1) \in T_p(N_0 \times N_1)$, Eq. (6.11) implies that

$$\psi_* v = P_0 v_0 + \langle a, v_0\rangle (p_1 - c) + \langle a, p_0\rangle v_1$$

Hence we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_* p_0 &= P_0 p_0 + \langle a, p_0\rangle (p_1 - c) \\ &= \psi(p_0, p_1)\end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

and

$$\psi_* a_i = a_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, ..., m-1 \tag{6.20}$$

Now let $\tilde{L} = \tilde{A} + m\tilde{r} \odot \tilde{r} + 2\tilde{w} \odot \tilde{r}$ be a concircular tensor in N_0 satisfying $\tilde{A}a = \lambda a$ for some λ and $\langle a, \tilde{w}\rangle = 0$. Then from Lemma 6.5 we know that $\psi_*(\tilde{L} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho^2} G_1)$ is a concircular tensor in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. We now calculate $\psi_*(\tilde{L} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho^2} G_1)$ explicitly.

First note that

$$\tilde{A} = A_0 + \lambda \kappa_1 a \odot a$$

where $A_0 a = 0$ and so $\psi_* A_0 = A_0$ by Eq. (6.20). Let G be the contravariant metric for $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ and G_0 be the restriction of G to W_0, then

$$\begin{aligned}G &= G' + \frac{1}{\rho^2} G_1 \\ &= G_0 + \kappa_1 a \odot a + \frac{1}{\rho^2} G_1\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{\rho^2} G_1 = G - G_0 - \kappa_1 a \odot a$$

Let G_{V_1} be the restriction of G to V_1, then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_*(\tilde{A} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho^2} G_1) &= \psi_*(A_0 + \lambda \kappa_1 a \odot a + \lambda(G - G_0 - \kappa_1 a \odot a)) \\ &= \psi_*(A_0 + \lambda(G - G_0)) \\ &= A_0 + \lambda(G - G_0) \\ &= \tilde{A} + \lambda G_{V_1}\end{aligned}$$

where the second last equality follows from Eq. (6.20) and the fact that ψ is an isometry.

Eq. (6.19) implies that $\psi_* \tilde{r} = r$, also Eq. (6.20) together with the fact that $\langle a, \tilde{w}\rangle = 0$ implies that $\psi_* \tilde{w} = \tilde{w}$. Thus we conclude that

$$\psi_*(\tilde{L} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho^2} G_1) = A + mr \odot r + 2r \odot \tilde{w}$$

where as a linear operator, $A = \tilde{A} + \lambda I_{V_1}$ where I_{V_1} is the identity on V_1.

The null case: In this case a is a lightlike vector. Let $m := \dim V_0$ and choose a basis $\{a_1, ..., a_{m-2}, a, b\}$ for V_0 where $\{a_1, ..., a_{m-2}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for W_0 and a, b are as in the null warped product decomposition.

First note that for $p = (p_0, p_1) \in N_0 \times N_1$ and $v = (v_0, v_1) \in T_p(N_0 \times N_1)$, Eq. (6.12) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_* v = P_0 v_0 + (\langle b, v_0\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle a, v_0\rangle (P_1 p_1)^2 - \langle a, p_0\rangle \langle P_1 p_1, P_1 v_1\rangle)a + \langle a, v_0\rangle b \\ + \langle a, v_0\rangle P_1 p_1 + \langle a, p_0\rangle P_1 v_1\end{aligned}$$

Hence we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_* p_0 &= P_0 p_0 + (\langle b, p_0\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle a, p_0\rangle (P_1 p_1)^2)a + \langle a, p_0\rangle b + \langle a, p_0\rangle P_1 p_1 \\ &= \psi(p_0, p_1)\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_* a_i &= a_i \quad i = 1, ..., m-2 \\ \psi_* a &= a\end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

Now let $\tilde{L} = \tilde{A} + m\tilde{r} \odot \tilde{r} + 2\tilde{w} \odot \tilde{r}$ be a concircular tensor on N_0 satisfying $\tilde{A}a = \lambda a$ for some λ and $\langle a, \tilde{w}\rangle = 0$. Then from Lemma 6.5 we know that $\psi_*(\tilde{L} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho^2} G_1)$ is a concircular tensor in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. We now calculate $\psi_*(\tilde{L} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho^2} G_1)$ explicitly.

Since $\tilde{A}a = \lambda a$, $\tilde{A}$ can be decomposed in contravariant form as follows:

$$\tilde{A} = A_0 + 2\lambda a \odot b$$

where $A_0 a = 0$ and so $\psi_* A_0 = A_0$ by Eq. (6.25). Let G be the contravariant metric for $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ and G_0 be the restriction of G to W_0, then we see that

$$\frac{1}{\rho^2} G_1 = G - G_0 - 2a \odot b$$

Let G_{V_1} be the restriction of G to V_1, then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_*(\tilde{A} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho^2} G_1) &= \psi_*(A_0 + 2\lambda a \odot b + \lambda(G - G_0 - 2a \odot b)) \\ &= \psi_*(A_0 + \lambda(G - G_0)) \\ &= A_0 + \lambda(G - G_0) \\ &= A_0 + 2\lambda a \odot b + \lambda G_{V_1} \\ &= \tilde{A} + \lambda G_{V_1}\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from Eq. (6.25) and the fact that ψ is an isometry.

Eq. (6.24) implies that $\psi_* \tilde{r} = r$, also Eq. (6.25) together with the fact that $\langle a, \tilde{w} \rangle = 0$ implies that $\psi_* \tilde{w} = \tilde{w}$. Thus we conclude that

$$\psi_*(\tilde{L} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho^2} G_1) = A + mr \odot r + 2r \odot \tilde{w}$$

where as a linear operator, $A = \tilde{A} + \lambda I_{V_1}$ where I_{V_1} is the identity on V_1. ■

Remark 6.7
Note that even though the extended CT, L, can be naturally extended to all of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. It is the extension of $\tilde{L}$ only for the subset $\mathrm{Im}(\psi)$ of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ given by Theorem 6.3, which is in general not a dense subset of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. □

The following corollary will be useful in the sequel.

Corollary 6.8
Fix a proper warped product decomposition ψ determined by the data $(V_0 \oplus V_1; a)$ with $\kappa_1 := a^2 = \pm 1$. Let $\tilde{r} = P_1 r$ be the dilatational vector in W_1 and G_1 be the metric in W_1. Write the metric adapted to the warped product as $G = G' + \frac{1}{\rho^2}\tilde{G}$, then:

$$\psi_* \tilde{G} = \kappa_1 \tilde{r}^2 (G_1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2} \tilde{r} \odot \tilde{r})$$ □

Proof Let G be the contravariant metric for $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ and G_0 (resp. G_1) be the restriction of G to W_0 (resp. W_1), then recall that

$$\frac{1}{\rho^2}\tilde{G} = G - G_0 - \kappa_1 a \odot a$$

Hence the above equation together with Eq. (6.20) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_* \tilde{G} &= \rho^2 (G - G_0 - \kappa_1 \psi_*(a \odot a)) \\ &= \rho^2 (G_1 - \kappa_1 \psi_*(a \odot a)) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{p}_1 = p_1 - c \in W_1(\kappa_1)$ then $\tilde{r} = P_1 r = \langle a, p_0 \rangle \tilde{p}_1$. Then by Eq. (6.19)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_* a &= \kappa_1 \tilde{p}_1 \\ &= \kappa_1 \frac{\tilde{r}}{\langle a, p_0 \rangle} \\ &= \kappa_1 \frac{\tilde{r}}{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

Thus since $\tilde{r}^2 = \frac{\rho^2}{\kappa_1}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_*\tilde{G} &= \rho^2(G_1 - \kappa_1\psi_*(a \odot a)) \\ &= \rho^2(G_1 - \kappa_1\frac{1}{\rho^2}\tilde{r} \odot \tilde{r}) \\ &= \kappa_1\tilde{r}^2(G_1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2}\tilde{r} \odot \tilde{r})\end{aligned}$$

■

We now present some examples which show how to use the above proposition (Proposition 6.6) to construct warped products which decompose a given reducible CT.

Example 6.9
Let $M = \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ where $n \geq 3$. Consider the central CT L with parameter matrix $A = \varepsilon e \odot e$, where $\varepsilon := e^2 = \pm 1$.

Let $W := e^\perp$ and P be the orthogonal projection onto W. Choose $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ such that $(P\bar{p})^2 \neq 0$, WLOG we assume $(P\bar{p})^2 = \pm 1$. We construct a warped product passing through $\bar{p}$ which decomposes L.

Let $\kappa_1 := \operatorname{sgn}(P\bar{p})^2$ and take $a := \kappa_1 P\bar{p} \in W$. Let $V_1 = W \cap a^\perp$ and $V_0 = V_1^\perp = \mathbb{R}e \oplus \mathbb{R}a$. Note that a was chosen so that the initial data $(\bar{p}; V_0 \oplus V_1; a)$ is in canonical form and also note that $\kappa_1 = a^2$. Let $\psi : N_0 \times_\rho N_1 \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ be the warped product in Theorem 6.3 determined by this initial data.

Now let $\tilde{A} := \varepsilon e \odot e + 0a \odot a \in C^2_0(N_0)$, then by construction we have that:

$$A = \tilde{A} + 0I_{V_1}$$

Let $\tilde{L}$ be the central CT in N_0 with parameter matrix $\tilde{A}$ and suppose the contravariant metric in the warped product decomposes as $G = G' + \frac{1}{\rho^2}G_1$. The above proposition shows that:

$$\psi_*(\tilde{L} + 0\frac{1}{\rho^2}G_1) = L$$

for all points in the image of ψ, which includes $\bar{p}$. Hence this warped product decomposition decomposes L. Note that this warped product was constructed so that $\tilde{A}$ has simple eigenvalues and so $\tilde{L}$ is no longer reducible.

In the following we replace N_1 with $N_1 - c_1$ so that N_1 is a central hyperquadric. Then by Eq. (6.11), we have for $(p_0, p) = (\kappa_1 xa + ye, p) \in N_0 \times N_1$

$$\psi(p_0, p) = xp + ye$$

□

The above example will be applied to construct separable coordinates in Section 7.2, see Example 7.4. We now give a non-Euclidean variation of the above example.

Example 6.10
Let $M = \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ where $n \geq 3$. Consider the central CT L with parameter matrix $A = a \odot a$ with $a^2 = 0$ and $a \neq 0$.

Let $W = a^\perp$. Choose $\bar{p} \notin W$, WLOG we assume $\langle \bar{p}, a\rangle = \pm 1$. We now construct a warped product passing through $\bar{p}$ which decomposes L.

If $\langle \bar{p}, a\rangle = -1$, then set $a := -a$, so we can assume $\langle \bar{p}, a\rangle = 1$. Define b as follows:

$$b := \bar{p} - \frac{\bar{p}^2}{2}a \tag{6.33}$$

Note that b is a lightlike vector satisfying $\langle a, b\rangle = 1$. Define $V_1 = a^\perp \cap b^\perp$ and $V_0 = \operatorname{span}\{a, b\}$. Note that b was chosen so that the initial data $(\bar{p}; V_0 \oplus V_1; a)$ is in canonical form. Let $\psi : N_0 \times_\rho N_1 \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ be the warped product in Theorem 6.3 determined by this initial data.

Note that $\{b, a\}$ forms a cycle of generalized eigenvectors for A and $A|_{V_1} = 0I_{V_1}$. Hence by the above proposition, $(\psi^{-1})_* L$ is decomposable in this warped product. Also by Theorem 6.3, $\bar{p} \in \operatorname{Im}(\psi)$. Also, the restriction of $(\psi^{-1})_* L$ to N_0, $\tilde{L}$, is a central CT with 2D parameter matrix $a \odot a$.

In the following we replace N_1 with $P_1(N_1 - \bar{p})$ so that $N_1 = V_1$ is a vector space. Then by Eq. (6.12), we have for $(p_0, p) = (xb + ya, p) \in N_0 \times N_1$

$$\psi(p_0, p) = x(b + p - \frac{1}{2}p^2 a) + ya \qquad \square$$

General Construction We will show how to use Proposition 6.6 to construct a warped product which decomposes an interesting class[7] of non-degenerate reducible CTs. This construction generalizes the above examples. First we need a preliminary definition. Suppose A is a linear operator on a vector space. We say that a vector v is a *proper generalized eigenvector of* A if $(A - \lambda I)^k v = 0$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k > 1$.

Let $L = A + mr \odot r + 2r \odot w$ be a non-degenerate CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ in the canonical form given by Theorem 2.11. We let the subspace D and the matrix A_c be as in the remarks following the theorem. We assume that each real generalized eigenspace of A_c admits at most one proper generalized eigenvector. We lose no generality when working in Euclidean or Minkowski space [Raj14, Appendix C].

Now let $W_1, \ldots, W_k$ be the multidimensional (real) eigenspaces of A_c with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$. The following construction is based on the metric-Jordan canonical form of A_c, see Theorem 2.1 or [Raj14, theorem C.3.7].

Case 1 W_i is a non-degenerate subspace

Choose a unit vector $a_i \in W_i$ and define $V_i := W_i \cap a_i^\perp$. The pair (V_i, a_i) determine a sphere.

Case 2 W_i is a degenerate subspace

Consider the metric-Jordan canonical form for A_c. By assumption there must be a single cycle $v_1, \ldots, v_r$ of generalized eigenvectors with $v_r \in W_i$ being a lightlike eigenvector. Let $a_i := v_r$ and $V_i := W_i \cap v_1^\perp$, note that V_i is non-degenerate.

[7] This class includes all reducible OCTs in Euclidean and Minkowski space.

Now let $V_0 := \cap_{i=1}^{k} V_i^{\perp}$ and $\tilde{A} := A|_{V_0}$. By construction, the data $(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{k} V_i; a_1, ..., a_k)$, determines a warped product decomposition $\psi : N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k \to \mathbb{E}_\nu^n$ in canonical form. By repeatedly applying Proposition 6.6 we see that L is decomposable in the warped product decomposition induced by ψ, with the following properties:

- $((\psi^{-1})_* L)|_{N_0} = \tilde{A} + m\tilde{r} \odot \tilde{r} + 2\tilde{r} \odot w$ where $\tilde{r}$ is the dilatational vector field in N_0
- $\tilde{A}|_{D^\perp}$ only has eigenspaces of dimension one, i.e. each Jordan block of $\tilde{A}|_{D^\perp}$ has a distinct eigenvalue.
- For each $i > 0$, TN_i is an eigenspace of $(\psi^{-1})_* L$ with constant eigenfunction λ_i

On Completeness We will end this section by showing that the above construction is complete, meaning that the restriction of $(\psi^{-1})_* L$ to the geodesic factor N_0 no longer has constant eigenfunctions.

We also note here that with an appropriate choice of $a_1, \ldots, a_k$ we can choose warped product decompositions to cover all of $\mathbb{E}_\nu^n$ except for a union of closed submanifolds with dimension strictly less than n. Examples 6.9 and 6.10 give more details on how to do this, see also Theorem 6.3. In other words, for the non-degenerate CTs considered above, there exists a warped product decomposition $\psi : N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k \to \mathbb{E}_\nu^n$ such that $\mathrm{Im}(\psi)$ is a dense subset of $\mathbb{E}_\nu^n$. Although the cost of this is that the factors N_i may no longer be connected.

The following lemma shows that the classification of reducible CTs given above is complete for central CTs.

Lemma 6.11 (Reducible central CTs)
Let L be a central CT with parameter matrix A. Suppose that each real generalized eigenspace of A has at most one proper generalized eigenvector. Then A has a real eigenspace $\tilde{E}_\lambda$ with dimension $m > 1$ iff L has a non-degenerate eigenspace E_λ (defined on a dense subset of $\mathbb{E}_\nu^n$) with constant eigenfunction λ and dimension $m - 1$. □

Proof It was proven above that under the hypothesis, if A has a real eigenspace with dimension $m > 1$ then L has a non-degenerate eigenspace E_λ with dimension $m - 1$. We will now prove the converse.

To prove the converse, we simply have to prove that if all real eigenspaces of A are at most one dimensional then L has no non-degenerate eigenspaces with constant eigenfunctions defined on open subsets of $\mathbb{E}_\nu^n$. It is sufficient to show that L has no constant eigenfunctions defined on open subsets of $\mathbb{E}_\nu^n$.

We prove this by induction. The base cases are given by Proposition 5.9. Suppose U is a non-degenerate invariant subspace of A such that L_u has the form given by Proposition 5.9 and $U^\perp$ satisfies the induction hypothesis. By Eq. (5.6) we can write:

$$p(z) = p_u(z) B_{u^\perp}(z) + B_u(z)(p_{u^\perp}(z) - B_{u^\perp}(z))$$

Then

$$\mathrm{d}p = B_{u^\perp}\mathrm{d}p_u + B_u\mathrm{d}p_{u^\perp}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $L_{u^\perp}$ has no constant eigenfunctions. Suppose λ is a constant eigenfunction of p, then by Proposition 5.9 and the above equation, it follows that

$$B_{u^\perp}(\lambda) = B_u(\lambda) = 0$$

If B_u has no real roots, we reach a contradiction. Otherwise, by construction A must have a real eigenspace with dimension $m > 1$, a contradiction. Hence we conclude that L has no constant eigenfunctions which proves the claim by induction. ∎

Since a multidimensional eigenspace of an OCT has a constant eigenfunction, the above proposition allows us to classify these eigenspaces when the CTs considered induce an OCT on some subset of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. For completeness, we will show that the hypothesis of the above proposition is the most general for classifying OCTs.

Proposition 6.12
Let L be a central CT with parameter matrix A. Suppose A has a real generalized eigenspace with multiple proper generalized eigenvectors, then L is not an OCT. ♢

Proof WLOG we can assume that that this generalized eigenspace of A is associated with the eigenvalue zero. First we have

$$L = A + r \odot r$$
$$L^2 = A^2 + Ar \odot r + r^2 r \odot r$$

By hypothesis, $\dim N(L) \geq 1$. We also have that $\dim N(A^2) \geq 4$. The above equation shows that the range of L^2 is spanned by $\{r, Ar\}$ and the range of A^2 (on a dense subset of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$), hence we see that $\dim N(L^2) \geq 1 + \dim N(L)$. This implies that L is not point-wise diagonalizable on some dense subset of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ (see for example [FIS03]). ∎

In fact one can show that if $A = J_2(0) \oplus J_2(0)$, then the associated central CT has a 2-cycle of generalized eigenvectors associated with eigenvalue zero.

The following lemma is the analogue of Lemma 6.11 for axial CTs. Its proof is also analogous and reduces to Lemma 6.11 with the help of Eq. (5.32) and Proposition 5.13.

Lemma 6.13 (Reducible axial CTs)
Let L be an axial CT with parameter matrix A. Suppose that each real generalized eigenspace of A_c has at most one proper generalized eigenvector. Then A_c has a real eigenspace $\tilde{E}_\lambda$ with dimension $m > 1$ iff L has a non-degenerate eigenspace E_λ (defined on a dense subset of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$) with constant eigenfunction λ and dimension $m - 1$. □

In conclusion we have the following theorem which summarizes our classification:

Theorem 6.14 (Classification of Reducible CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$)
Let L be a non-degenerate CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ such that each real generalized eigenspace of A_c has at most one proper generalized eigenvector. Then L is reducible iff A_c has a multidimensional real eigenspace. If L is reducible, then there exists an explicitly constructible warped product decomposition $\psi : N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ such that the following hold:

- *L is decomposable in the warped product $N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k$.*
- *The restriction of $(\psi^{-1})_* L$ to N_0 has no constant eigenfunctions.*
- *$\mathrm{Im}(\psi)$ is an open dense subset of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$.* ♢

6.2 In Spherical submanifolds of pseudo-Euclidean space

In this section we show how the problem of classifying reducible CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ can be reduced to the same problem in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$; we will assume $n > 2$ to avoid trivial cases. First we will need to obtain the warped product decompositions of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. The following proposition shows that any proper warped product decomposition of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ in canonical form restricts to a warped product decomposition of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. Its proof is straightforward consequence of Eq. (6.10); see [Raj14, Appendix D] for more details.

Theorem 6.15 (Restricting Warped products to $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$)
Let ψ be a proper warped product decomposition of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ associated with $(\bar{p}; \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k} V_i; a_1, ..., a_k)$ in canonical form. Suppose $\kappa^{-1} := \bar{p}^2 \neq 0$ and let $N' := N_0(\kappa) \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \times \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k$. Then $\phi : N' \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ defined by $\phi := \psi|_{N'}$ is a warped product decomposition of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ passing through $\bar{p}$.

Remark 6.16
Sometimes $N_0(\kappa)$ may not be connected, for more details on this see [Raj14, Appendix D]. □

Now we show how to restrict a reducible CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ to one in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$.

Proposition 6.17 (Restricting Reducible CTs to $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$)
Let $\psi : N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ be a proper warped product decomposition in canonical form and let $\bar{p} \in \mathrm{Im}(\psi)$ as in the above theorem. Suppose L_c is a reducible central CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ satisfying

$$L_c = \psi_*(\tilde{L}_c + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i G_i)$$

where G_i is the restriction of G to TN_i, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{L}_c$ is a CT in N_0. Let $\phi := \psi|_{N'}$ be the induced warped product decomposition of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ as in the above theorem. Then if we let L (resp. $\tilde{L}$) be the restriction of L_c (resp. $\tilde{L}_c$) to $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ (resp. $N_0(\kappa)$), then

$$L = \phi_*(\tilde{L} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i G_i) \qquad \diamondsuit$$

Proof Let $\tilde{r}$ (resp. r) be the dilatational vector field in N_0 (resp. $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$). We will use the fact that $\psi_*\tilde{r} = r$; this can be deduced from the proof of Proposition 6.6 or Eq. (6.14). We let $R^* = I - \dfrac{r \otimes r^\flat}{r^2}$ be the orthogonal projection onto $T\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ with a similar definition for $\tilde{R}^*$ with respect to $TN_0(\kappa)$. In the following, given $L \in S^2(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$, we denote by R^*L the restricted tensor given by $(R^*L)^{ij} = R^i{}_l L^{lk} R^j{}_k$.

Using the fact that ψ is an isometry and $\psi_*\tilde{r} = r$, one can show that $R^* \circ \psi_* = \psi_* \circ \tilde{R}^*$. Also note that $\tilde{R}^* G_i = G_i$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R^* L_c &= R^* \psi_* (\tilde{L}_c + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i G_i) \\ &= \psi_* (\tilde{R}^* \tilde{L}_c + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \tilde{R}^* G_i) \\ &= \psi_* (\tilde{R}^* \tilde{L}_c + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i G_i) \end{aligned}$$

By evaluating the above equation in $N_0(\kappa) \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k$, one obtains the desired result. ∎

Now we show how to apply the above results to obtain a warped product decomposition in which a given CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ is decomposable. Let L be a non-trivial CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$, then there is a unique central CT, L_c, such that $L = R^* L_c$. As described in the previous section, provided L_c is reducible, we can choose a warped product decomposition of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, ψ, such that $L_c = \psi_*(\tilde{L}_c + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i G_i)$ satisfying the hypothesis of the above proposition. Thus the above proposition gives a warped product decomposition ϕ which decomposes L, and is obtained by an appropriate restriction of ψ. We now give some examples of this procedure to obtain the standard spherical coordinates.

Example 6.18 (Spherical Coordinates I)
Let $M = \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ where $\kappa = \pm 1$ and $n \geq 3$. Consider the CT L in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ induced by $A = \varepsilon e \odot e$ with $\varepsilon := e^2 = \pm 1$. Let P be the orthogonal projector onto $e^\perp$ and choose $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ such that $(P\bar{p})^2 = \pm 1$. By Example 6.9 there is a warped product

decomposition $\psi : N_0 \times_\rho N_1 \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ passing through $\bar{p}$ which decomposes $L_c := A + r \odot r$. For $(p_0, p) = (x\kappa_1 a + ye, p) \in N_0 \times N_1$, we have

$$\psi(p_0, p) = xp + ye$$

To obtain a warped product decomposition of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$, by Theorem 6.15 we need to restrict ψ to $N_0(\kappa) \times N_1$. Let ϕ be the induced warped product decomposition of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$, then it follows by Proposition 6.17 that L is decomposable in this warped product. We now give the standard forms of this warped product by parameterizing (x, y) as in Example 5.20 while enforcing $x = \langle a, p_0 \rangle > 0$ and $N_0(\kappa)$ to be connected. We have three different cases:

Case 1 $\kappa_1 = \kappa$ and $\varepsilon = \kappa$

$$\phi : \begin{cases} (0, \pi) \times_{\sin} N_1 & \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa) \\ (t, p) & \mapsto \sin(t)p + \cos(t)e \end{cases}$$

Case 2 $\kappa_1 = \kappa$ and $\varepsilon = -\kappa$

$$\phi : \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} \times_{\cosh} N_1 & \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa) \\ (t, p) & \mapsto \cosh(t)p + \sinh(t)e \end{cases}$$

Case 3 $\kappa_1 = -\kappa$ and $\varepsilon = \kappa$

$$\phi : \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^+ \times_{\sinh} N_1 & \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa) \\ (t, p) & \mapsto \sinh(t)p + \cosh(t)e \end{cases}$$

Note that even though there is only one inequivalent coordinate system on $\mathbb{E}^2_\nu(\kappa)$, the last two warped products are inequivalent. This is due to the fact that $a^2 = \kappa_1$ is different in these cases and $N_0 = \{p \in V_0 | \, \langle a, p \rangle > 0\}$. □

The following example considers spherical coordinates that only occur in non-Euclidean spheres.

Example 6.19 (Spherical Coordinates II)
Let $M = \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ where $\kappa = \pm 1$ and $n \geq 3$. We now consider the CT L in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ induced by $A = a \odot a$ with $a^2 = 0$ and $a \neq 0$. This example proceeds similarly to the first. Fix $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ such that $\langle a, \bar{p} \rangle = 1$. By Example 6.10 there is a warped product decomposition $\psi : N_0 \times_\rho N_1 \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ passing through $\bar{p}$ which decomposes $L_c := A + r \odot r$. For $(p_0, p) = (xb + ya, p) \in N_0 \times N_1$, we have

$$\psi(p_0, p) = x(b + p - \frac{1}{2}p^2 a) + ya$$

Restricting ψ to $N_0(\kappa) \times N_1$ forces:

$$\kappa = p_0^2 = 2xy$$

Let ϕ be the warped product decomposition of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ induced by ψ as in Theorem 6.15. Again, it follows by Proposition 6.17 that L is decomposable in this warped product. We now give ϕ with the standard parameterization of $N_0(\kappa)$, by enforcing $x = \langle a, p_0 \rangle > 0$ and $N_0(\kappa)$ to be connected. These conditions are all satisfied if we take $x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp(t)$. Then we have the following:

$$\phi : \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} \times_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp} \mathbb{E}^{n-2}_{\nu-1} & \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa) \\ (t, p) & \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp(t)(b + p - \frac{1}{2}p^2 a) + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}} \exp(-t)a \end{cases}$$

Also note that if $\nu = -\kappa = 1$, then ϕ is an isometry onto a connected component of $\mathbb{E}^n_1(-1) \simeq H^{n-1}$. □

In conclusion we have the following theorem which summarizes our classification:

Theorem 6.20 (Classification of Reducible CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$)
Let L be a non-trivial CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ with $n > 2$ such that each real generalized eigenspace of A has at most one proper generalized eigenvector. Then L is reducible iff A has a multidimensional real eigenspace. If L is reducible, then there exists an explicitly constructible warped product decomposition $\psi : N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ such that the following hold:

1. *L is decomposable in the warped product $N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k$.*
2. *The restriction of $(\psi^{-1})_* L$ to N_0 has no constant eigenfunctions.*
3. *$\mathrm{Im}(\psi)$ is an open dense subset of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$.* ♢

Proof We give the proof of Item 2. First suppose λ is a constant eigenfunction of L, then one can naturally lift λ to a constant function on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Let $p(z)$ be the characteristic polynomial of L having the form given by Eq. (5.41). Then since $\mathcal{L}_r p = 0$ (see the proof of Proposition 5.21), we must have $p(\lambda) = 0$ on some open subset of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Then the proof of Lemma 6.11 holds verbatim by Eq. (5.41), which proves the result.

Item 3 follows from the construction of ψ (see Proposition 6.17) and Theorem 6.14. ■

7 Applications and Examples

In this section we show how to apply the theory developed in this article to solve some of the motivating problems stated in the introduction. First, in Section 7.1 we show how to enumerate the isometrically inequivalent separable coordinates in a given space of constant curvature. Then in Section 7.2 we show how to construct separable coordinate systems by way of examples. Finally, in Section 7.3 we show how to explicitly execute the BEKM separation algorithm in general. We also give the details of executing the BEKM separation algorithm for the Calogero-Moser system.

7.1 Enumerating inequivalent separable coordinates

In this section we show how one can use the theory developed in this article to enumerate the isometrically inequivalent separable coordinate systems on a given space of constant curvature. For dimensions greater than two, this problem is recursive as described in [RM14b, section 6.2]. This recursive nature was originally discovered by Kalnins et al. and is discussed more concretely in [Kal86]. So one will also have to enumerate the separable coordinate systems on spherical submanifolds of the underlying space and then construct the separable coordinates systems using warped products (see the beginning of Section 2.4 and also [RM14b, section 6.2]).

The main step is to enumerate the geometrically inequivalent CTs, so we will focus on this. To do this, one has to enumerate the canonical forms summarized in Section 2.4 together with the metric-Jordan canonical forms for A_c and take into account geometric equivalence. We illustrate this idea with some examples.

Example 7.1 (Central CTs)
Let L be a central CT with parameter matrix A. In this case, we essentially have to enumerate the different metric-Jordan canonical forms for A. Fix $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

In Euclidean space there is only one central CT we can build from these parameters; it is given by the parameter matrix $A = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ and it induces the well known elliptic coordinate system (see Example 5.11).

In Minkowski space there are n (geometrically inequivalent) central CTs we can build from these parameters, they are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= J_{-1}(\lambda_1) \oplus J_1(\lambda_2) \oplus \cdots J_1(\lambda_n) \\ &\vdots \\ A &= J_1(\lambda_1) \oplus J_1(\lambda_2) \oplus \cdots J_{-1}(\lambda_n) \end{aligned}$$

They differ by the eigenvalue of A which is timelike. Similarly there are $n-1$ central CTs built only using $\lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_n$ with parameter matrix of the form:

$$A = J_{\pm 2}(\lambda_2) \oplus J_1(\lambda_3) \oplus \cdots J_1(\lambda_n)$$

Now consider the case where A has a two dimensional eigenspace, the rest being simple. Using $\lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_n$, in Euclidean space there are $n-1$ central CTs depending on which λ_i corresponds to the two dimensional eigenspace[8]. Each of these cases in Euclidean space induce $n-1$ different cases in Minkowski space depending on which λ_i becomes timelike, hence there are a total of $(n-1)^2$ cases in Minkowski space.

Finally we note that in Minkowski space A can have two complex conjugate eigenvalues, then since the corresponding real Jordan block is distinguishable from the other real eigenvalues of A, a similar analysis applies. In general one would have to order the complex eigenvalues (see Definition A.1). □

[8] When $n = 3$ the two different cases induce the oblate and prolate spheroidal coordinate systems.

Enumerating inequivalent axial CTs can largely be reduced to the same problem for central CTs. For example, in Euclidean space there is only one type of axial CT if all the eigenvalues of A_c are distinct. We conclude with CTs in spherical submanifolds of pseudo-Euclidean space as they are somewhat different.

Example 7.2 (CTs in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$)
Let L be the CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ with parameter matrix A. Fix $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case there are sometimes less geometrically inequivalent CTs then isometrically inequivalent ones.

In the Euclidean sphere there is only one CT we can build from these parameters, it is given by the parameter matrix $A = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ and it induces the sphere-elliptic coordinate system.

Now suppose the ambient space is Minkowski space. Then we only need to consider $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ cases given by (see Example 4.6):

$$\begin{aligned} A &= J_{-1}(\lambda_1) \oplus J_1(\lambda_2) \oplus \cdots J_1(\lambda_n) \\ &\vdots \\ A &= J_1(\lambda_1) \oplus J_1(\lambda_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{-1}(\lambda_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}) \oplus \cdots J_1(\lambda_n) \end{aligned}$$

Note that only the first $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ eigenvalues of A are made timelike.

Most of the other cases can be deduced from the first example if one desires. Although we illustrate one difference with an example. For the Euclidean sphere $\mathbb{E}^3(1)$, fix $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the CT induced by the following parameter matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\ A_2 &= \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_2) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $-A_2$ has the same form as A_1, specifically the smallest eigenvalue of $-A_2$ is repeated. Hence in considering parameter matrices with two dimensional eigenspaces, we only need to enumerate those with the form given by A_1, where the smaller eigenvalue is repeated. □

We have described how to enumerate the geometrically inequivalent CTs in spaces of constant curvature. One should note though, that in non-Euclidean spaces a given CT could induce different coordinate systems on disjoint connected subsets of the space (see Example 5.12). Hence in these cases, more work has to be done to enumerate the isometrically inequivalent separable coordinate systems.

7.2 Constructing separable coordinates

In a two dimensional Riemannian manifold, all non-trivial CTs are Benenti tensors. Hence in this case, one can enumerate all isometrically inequivalent separable coordinates simply by enumerating the geometrically inequivalent CTs. The latter problem

can be solved in pseudo-Euclidean space using Theorem 2.11. In Table 1 we have done this for $\mathbb{E}^2$ and included the standard transformations from separable to Cartesian coordinates.

Table 1: Separable Coordinate Systems in $\mathbb{E}^2$

1. Cartesian coordinates	$L = d \odot d$	$x\, d + y\, e$
2. Polar coordinates	$L = r \odot r$	$\rho \cos\theta\, d + \rho \sin\theta\, e$
3. Elliptic coordinates	$L = d \odot d + a^{-2} r \odot r$	$a \cos\phi \cosh\eta\, d + a \sin\phi \sinh\eta\, e$
4. Parabolic coordinates	$L = 2r \odot d$	$\frac{1}{2}(\mu^2 - \nu^2)\, d + \mu\nu\, e$

The vectors d, e form an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{E}^2$ and $a > 0$.

We now show how one obtains the coordinate formula in Table 1 from formulas we have already calculated. For elliptic coordinates, take Cartesian coordinates (x, y) on $\mathbb{E}^2$ and let L be the central CT with parameter matrix $A = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ where $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$. Then the transformation from canonical coordinates (u^1, u^2) to Cartesian coordinates (x, y) read (see Eq. (5.11)):

$$x^2 = \frac{(\lambda_1 - u^1)(\lambda_1 - u^2)}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} \qquad y^2 = \frac{(\lambda_2 - u^1)(\lambda_2 - u^2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}$$

We can obtain the standard parameterization of elliptic coordinates as follows. Note that $L = \lambda_1 G + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\tilde{L}$ where $\tilde{L} = e \odot e + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)^{-1} r \odot r$ is geometrically equivalent to L. The eigenfunctions of $\tilde{L}$, $(\tilde{u}^1, \tilde{u}^2)$, are related to those of L by $u^i = \lambda_1 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\tilde{u}^i$. Letting $a^2 := \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ and substituting this expression for u^i in the above equation gives:

$$x^2 = a^2 \tilde{u}^1 \tilde{u}^2 \qquad y^2 = a^2 (1 - \tilde{u}^1)(\tilde{u}^2 - 1)$$

Then making the transformation $\tilde{u}^1 = \cos^2\phi$ and $\tilde{u}^2 = \cosh^2\eta$, we obtain the formula in Table 1.

The formula for parabolic coordinates follow similarly from Eqs. (5.37) and (5.38), after taking $u^1 = -\nu^2$ and $u^2 = \mu^2$ assuming $u^1 < u^2$.

We end with a few more examples to further illustrate the theory. The first example shows how to obtain coordinates which diagonalize a Benenti tensor which is not an ICT.

Example 7.3 (Spherical coordinates in $\mathbb{S}^2$)
Fix $d \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and let L be the CT induced in $\mathbb{S}^2$ by restricting $d \odot d$. As we observed earlier, L is necessarily a Benenti tensor. In Example 6.18 it was shown that a warped product which decomposes L is given by:

$$\psi(\phi, p) = \cos\phi\, d + \sin\phi\, p$$

where $p \in d^{\perp}(1)$, i.e. $p \in \mathbb{S}^2 \cap d^{\perp}$ and $\phi \in (0, \pi)$. Since $d^{\perp}(1)$ is the unit circle we obtain coordinates on it by taking $p = \cos\theta\, e + \sin\theta\, f$ where e, f is an orthonormal basis for $d^{\perp}$. Then the above equation becomes:

$$\psi(\phi, p) = \cos\phi\, d + \sin\phi(\cos\theta\, e + \sin\theta\, f)$$

Furthermore, since ψ is a warped product decomposition with warping function $\sin\phi$, it follows from Example 6.18 that the metric is:

$$g = (\mathrm{d}\phi)^2 + \sin^2\phi(\mathrm{d}\theta)^2$$

□

Example 7.4 (Oblate/Prolate spheroidal coordinates in $\mathbb{E}^3$)
Fix a unit vector $d \in \mathbb{E}^n$, $c \neq 0$ and consider the following CT in $\mathbb{E}^n$:

$$L = c\, d \odot d + r \odot r \tag{7.5}$$

It follows from Example 6.9 that a warped product ψ which decomposes L is given as follows: Let $e \in d^{\perp}$ be a unit vector, then for $(p_0, p) = (xd + ye, p) \in N_0 \times N_1$

$$\psi(p_0, p) = xd + yp$$

Observe that $N_0 \simeq \mathbb{E}^2$ and L induces a Benenti tensor, $\tilde{L}$, on N_0 which has the form given by Eq. (7.5). If we let $a := \sqrt{|c|}$, then using Table 1 we can take coordinates on N_0 which diagonalize $\tilde{L}$ yielding the following maps.

$$\psi(p_0, p) = \begin{cases} c > 0 & a\cos\phi\cosh\eta\, d + a\sin\phi\sinh\eta\, p \\ c < 0 & a\sin\phi\sinh\eta\, d + a\cos\phi\cosh\eta\, p \end{cases}$$

Also N_1 is the unit sphere in $d^{\perp}$, hence $N_1 \simeq \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. We can obtain separable coordinates for $\mathbb{E}^n$ by taking any separable coordinates for $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ on N_1 [RM14b]. For example, if $c > 0$ and $n = 3$, we obtain prolate spheroidal coordinates:

$$\psi(p_0, p) = a\cos\phi\cosh\eta\, d + a\sin\phi\sinh\eta\, (\cos\theta\, e + \sin\theta\, f)$$

where e, f is any orthonormal basis for $d^{\perp}$. Also note that using Proposition 5.15 and the fact that ψ is a warped product decomposition with warping function $a\sin\phi\sinh\eta$, one can obtain the following expression for the metric:

$$g = a^2(\sinh^2\eta + \sin^2\phi)((\mathrm{d}\phi)^2 + (\mathrm{d}\eta)^2) + a^2\sin^2\phi\sinh^2\eta(\mathrm{d}\theta)^2$$

Finally note that oblate spheroidal coordinates can be obtained by taking $c < 0$. □

Example 7.5 (Product coordinates in $\mathbb{E}^4$)
Consider the decomposition $\mathbb{E}^n = V \oplus W$ into non-trivial subspaces. Let $\tilde{G}$ denote the induced contravariant metric in V and consider the following CT in $\mathbb{E}^n$:

$$L = \tilde{G}$$

Observe that the warped product $\psi : V \times_1 W \to \mathbb{E}^n$ given by $(q, p) \to q + p$ is adapted to the eigenspaces of L. We can construct separable coordinates by parameterizing q (resp. p) with separable coordinates on V (resp. W). For example, if $\dim V = \dim W = 2$, by taking polar (resp. elliptic) coordinates on V (resp. W) from Table 1, we have the following separable coordinates on $\mathbb{E}^4$:

$$\psi(q, p) = \rho \cos\theta\, b + \rho \sin\theta\, c + a \cos\phi \cosh\eta\, d + a \sin\phi \sinh\eta\, e$$

where b, c (resp. d, e) is an orthonormal basis for V (resp. W). □

Extending the above analysis one can prove that there are eleven classes of isometrically inequivalent separable coordinate systems in $\mathbb{E}^3$.

7.3 The BEKM separation algorithm

In this section we show how to execute the BEKM separation algorithm (see [RM14b, section 6.3] for details) in spaces of constant curvature using the classification of CTs given in this article.

In order to execute this algorithm in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ we will need the Killing Bertrand-Darboux (KBD) equation in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ and in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. Fix a function $V \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ and suppose $n > 1$. Then if L is the general CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ given by Eq. (2.11) and $K_e := \mathrm{tr}(L)G - L$ is its KBDT, then the KBD equation in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ is:

$$\mathrm{d}(K_e \mathrm{d}V) = 0$$

We will often refer to the above equation as just the *KBD equation*.

It will be convenient to evaluate the KBD equation in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ via its embedding in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Then if $\tilde{L}$ is the general CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ given in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ by Eq. (2.18), let $L := r^2\tilde{L}$ and $K_s := \mathrm{tr}(L)R - L$, then the KBD equation in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ (embedded in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$) is:

$$\mathrm{d}(K_s \mathrm{d}V) = 0 \tag{7.13}$$

We will often refer to the above equation as the *spherical KBD equation*. We will show how this equation is derived in Section 7.3.2.

We should also mention here that we carry out the BEKM separation algorithm slightly differently than described in [RM14b, section 6.3]. We construct warped products which decompose reducible OCTs such that the induced CT on the geodesic factor is an ICT as opposed to a Benenti tensor. This allows one to simultaneously construct

separable coordinates while carrying out the algorithm, as illustrated by the following example.

7.3.1 Example: Calogero-Moser system

We first present an example which separates in several different coordinate systems and hence provides a good example for the BEKM separation algorithm. Our example is the Calogero-Moser system, which will be defined shortly. Another advantage of this example is that its separability properties have been studied by several different authors [HMS05; WW05; WW03; BCR00; Cal69], hence it allows one to compare and contrast different methods. Finally we mention that we obtained this example from [WW03] where an algorithm equivalent to the BEKM separation algorithm was used to study this example.

The n-dimensional Calogero-Moser system is given by the following natural Hamiltonian [Cal08]:

$$H(p,q) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_i^2 + \omega^2 q_i^2\right) + \sum_{1\le i<j\le n}\frac{g^2}{(q_i-q_j)^2} \tag{CM}$$

We will take $\omega = 0, g = 1$ for convenience. In this case this Hamiltonian models n point particles moving on a line acted on by forces depending on their relative distances. We can write the potential V as follows:

$$V = \sum_i \langle r, a_i\rangle^{-2}$$

where $a_i = e_k - e_l$ for some $k, l \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ with $e_i := \partial_i$. Furthermore we let

$$d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i$$

We can obtain solutions to the KBD equation by using the following result.

Proposition 7.6
Suppose $L = A + mr\odot r + 2w\odot r$ is a CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ and let $\tilde{L}$ be the restriction of L to $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. Let a be a covariantly constant vector and let $V := \langle r, a\rangle^{-2}$. If a is an eigenvector of A orthogonal to w then V satisfies the KBD equation with L in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. If a is an eigenvector of A then the restriction of V to $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ satisfies the KBD equation with $\tilde{L}$ in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. ♢

Proof We first consider the case in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Under these hypothesis it follows by Lemma 6.5 that if $\rho := |\langle r, a\rangle|$, then we have:

$$L(\mathrm{d}\log\rho) = \mathrm{d}(\lambda\log\rho + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(L))$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. From the above equation one can check that L satisfies the KBD equation with V. A similar proof holds for the case in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$, but now the above equation with $\tilde{L}$ follows either by restriction of the one in the ambient space or by Proposition 6.17 together with Eq. (6.2) from Proposition 6.1. ■

Remark 7.7
This result comes from the connection between extending KTs into warped products and the separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for natural Hamiltonians [RM14b]. One can show that the commuting integrals can be explicitly calculated; this is a consequence of the fact that L is torsionless. □

Remark 7.8
One can naturally construct separable potentials from the above proposition. For example if $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ then the above proposition implies that the following potential is separable in generalized elliptic coordinates (see Example 5.11):

$$V = \sum_i k_i \langle r, a_i \rangle^{-2}$$

for some $k_i \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact this potential is clearly multi-separable. Furthermore we can also obtain a multi-separable potential on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ by restriction. □

Now returning to the Calogero-Moser system, we construct the most general solution to the KBD equation that one can construct using the above proposition:

Proposition 7.9
If V is the potential of the Calogero-Moser system given by Eq. (CM), then the following CT is a solution of the KBD equation:

$$L = c\, d \odot d + 2w\, d \odot r + m\, r \odot r \tag{7.18}$$

where $c, w, m \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore the restriction of the above CT to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is a solution of the spherical KBD equation. ♢

Proof Consider the vectors $b_i := e_1 - e_i$ for $i \neq 1$. We construct the most general CT for which each vector b_i is an eigenvector of A and orthogonal to w. Observe that none of them are orthogonal, they span an $n-1$ dimensional subspace and

$$\cap_i b_i^\perp = (\oplus_i \operatorname{span}\{b_i\})^\perp = \operatorname{span}\{d\}$$

Now suppose A is a self-adjoint operator such that each b_i is an eigenvector of A. Then it follows that A must have $d^\perp$ as an eigenspace, hence $A = kI + cd \odot d$ for some $k, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus up to equivalence the above form of L satisfies our requirements, and it follows by Proposition 7.6 that L satisfies the KBD equation with V.

The second statement on the spherical KBD equation follows by a similar argument using Proposition 7.6. ■

Remark 7.10
It follows by a straightforward calculation that the CT stated in the above proposition is the most general solution of the KBD equation. Similarly when $n = 3$ one can check that the solution to the spherical KBD equation given in the above proposition is the most general. □

Canonical forms We obtain the canonical forms according to Theorem 2.11 for the CTs given by Eq. (7.18). First the constants ω_i from Eq. (2.12) are given as follows:

$$\omega_0 = m$$
$$\omega_1 = w^2$$

Note that in Euclidean space, one only needs to calculate ω_0 and ω_1 to carry out the classification. We now consider the cases given by Theorem 2.11:

Case 1 Elliptic: $\omega_0 \neq 0$
By applying the translation given by Eq. (2.16) and changing to a geometrically equivalent CT one obtains:

$$L = cd \odot d + r \odot r \tag{7.20}$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Case 2 Parabolic: $\omega_0 = 0, \omega_1 \neq 0$
By applying the translation given by Eq. (2.17) and changing to a geometrically equivalent CT one obtains:

$$L = 2d \odot r \tag{7.21}$$

Case 3 Cartesian: $\omega_0 = 0, \omega_1 = 0, c \neq 0$

In this case after changing to a geometrically equivalent CT, we have:

$$L = d \odot d \tag{7.22}$$

Hence the three geometrically inequivalent solutions of the KBD equation for the Calogero-Moser potential are given by Eqs. (7.20) to (7.22). Note that we can obtain these CTs from Eq. (7.18) with an appropriate choice of parameters, hence there is no need to apply any isometries.

Determining Separability We analyze these solutions further to find separable coordinates. We will obtain a compete analysis for the case $n \leq 3$ for purposes of illustration. For the following analysis, we fix unit vectors $a \in d^{\perp}$ and $e \in \mathrm{d}^{\perp} \cap a^{\perp}$.

We define N_1 to be the unit sphere in $d^{\perp}$:

$$N_1 = \{p \in d^{\perp} \mid p^2 = 1\}$$

Note if $d^{\perp} = \mathbb{R}a$, then we take $N_1 = \{a\}$. When $\dim N_1 = 1$, we take coordinates on it as follows:

$$\sigma(\theta) = \cos(\theta)a + \sin(\theta)e$$

Case 1 Elliptic with $c \neq 0$

When $n > 2$, this CT is reducible and a warped product decomposition ψ which decomposes this CT is given by Example 6.9. First define N_0 as follows:

$$N_0 = \{p \in \mathbb{R}d \oplus \mathbb{R}a \mid \langle a, p\rangle > 0\}$$

For $(p_0, p) = (xa + yd, p) \in N_0 \times N_1$, ψ is given as follows (see Example 6.9):

$$\psi(p_0, p) = xp + yd$$

Note that this equation also holds when $n = 2$, but in this case ψ is not a warped product decomposition. To separate V, we have to apply the BEKM separation algorithm with V restricted to N_1 on N_1. Although it will be more convenient to use the spherical KBD equation in $d^{\perp}$, see the next section for more details.

When $n \leq 3$, no additional steps are needed since in this case $\dim N_1 \leq 1$. Indeed, by Example 5.11 L restricted to N_0 is an ICT (in a dense subset) hence L has simple eigenfunctions (locally), and so one obtains separable coordinates for V by taking elliptic coordinates on N_0 [RM14b]. When $c < 0$ we obtain oblate spheroidal coordinates and when $c > 0$ we obtain prolate spheroidal coordinates; see Example 7.4 for more details.

Case 2 Parabolic

When $n > 2$, then proceeding as in Example 6.9 (see also Eq. (6.34)), one observes that the same warped product ψ as in the above case decomposes this CT. When $n \leq 3$, with similar arguments as in the above case, one finds that L locally has simple eigenfunctions, and one obtains separable coordinates for V by taking parabolic coordinates on N_0 [RM14b]. The resulting coordinate system is often called rotationally symmetric parabolic coordinates.

Case 3 Spherical: Elliptic with $c = 0$

In this case, one can check that the following warped product, ψ, decomposes L. For $(p_0, p) = (\rho a, p) \in \mathbb{R}^+ a \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, ψ is given as follows:

$$\psi(p_0, p) = \rho p$$

Now observe that even when $n = 3$, L does not have simple eigenfunctions; in contrast with the previous two cases. To fill the multidimensional eigenspace of L corresponding to $r^\perp$, we have to solve the spherical KBD equation (see the next section for more details). When $n = 3$, we can fill this degeneracy by using the solution to the spherical KBD equation given by Proposition 7.9. Indeed, that proposition shows that the CT on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ induced by $d \odot d$ is a solution of the spherical KBD equation. Hence by Example 7.3, this induced CT is diagonalized in spherical coordinates, and we see that V separates in the following coordinates [RM14b].

$$\psi(\rho a, p) = \rho(\sin(\phi)(\cos(\theta)a + \sin(\theta)e) + \cos(\phi)d)$$

Case 4 Cartesian

In this case we obtain a product which decomposes L as follows. First let $N_0 = \mathbb{R}d$ and $N_1 = d^\perp$, then for $(p_0, p) = (xd, p) \in N_0 \times N_1$, we have:

$$\psi(p_0, p) = xd + p$$

As in the above case, even when $n = 3$, L does not have simple eigenfunctions. Hence we have to apply the BEKM separation algorithm with V restricted to N_1 on N_1. When $n = 3$ one finds that the general solution to the KBD equation is $\tilde{r} \odot \tilde{r}$ where $\tilde{r}$ is the dilatational vector field in N_1. Thus if we take polar coordinates in N_1, we obtain separable coordinates for V. For $(p_0, p) = (xd, y\sigma(\theta)) \in N_0 \times N_1$ with $y > 0$, we have:

$$\psi(p_0, y\sigma(\theta)) = xd + y(\cos(\theta)a + \sin(\theta)e)$$

We conclude with some remarks. First the analysis given above is complete when $n \leq 3$. Although when $n > 3$ the warped product decompositions obtained may allow for partial separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. When $n = 4$ it was shown in [WW05] that no additional solutions to the (spherical) KBD equation could be obtained. Hence our analysis above is complete when $n = 4$.

Furthermore the above analysis holds verbatim for the weighted Calogero-Moser system with unequal masses, which can be modeled using the natural Hamiltonian in $\mathbb{E}^n$ associated with the following potential (see e.g. [WW05, Section 3.3]):

$$V = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{g_{ij}}{(m_i q_i - m_j q_j)^2}$$

The only difference is that in this case:

$$d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e_i}{m_i}, \quad M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m_i^2}$$

More examples can be found in [WW03, section 7], where an algorithm equivalent to the BEKM separation algorithm is used to determine separability of some natural Hamiltonians defined in $\mathbb{E}^3$. See also [Ben93] where some Kepler type potentials are tested for separability in elliptic coordinates in $\mathbb{E}^2$.

7.3.2 Spherical KBD Equation

We first show how to derive the spherical KBD equation. Suppose $V \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ is a potential in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ which satisfies the KBD equation with $r \odot r$. Choose $a \in \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ with $\kappa := a^2 = \pm 1$ and let $\rho := \langle a, r \rangle$. Then we can easily construct a warped product $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ a \times_\rho \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa) \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ which decomposes this CT. Let $\tau : \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa) \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ be the standard embedding of this sphere. Hence to find separable coordinates for V, we have to apply the BEKM separation algorithm with $\tilde{V} := \tau^* V$ in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$.

If $\tilde{L}$ is the general CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ and $\tilde{K} := \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{L})R - \tilde{L}$ is the KBDT where R is the metric in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$, then we have to solve the equation [RM14b, section 6.3]:

$$\mathrm{d}(\tilde{K}\mathrm{d}\tilde{V}) = 0$$

Now let K be the lift of $\tilde{K}$ (as a contravariant tensor) to $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ via the warped product ψ. Then proposition 5.2 in [RM14b] shows that the above equation is locally satisfied iff

$$\mathrm{d}(K\mathrm{d}V) = 0$$

Hence if we calculate this lift of K, we only need to solve the above equation in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. We now proceed to calculate this lift. Note that it is sufficient to find a contravariant tensor, K, in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ which is equal to $\tilde{K}$ for points in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ and satisfies $\mathcal{L}_r K = 0$. We shall see that it will be sufficient to do this for the CT then calculate the KBDT using its defining equation. Also noting that r is a CV, we execute the following calculations in a more general context just using this fact.

Let r be a non-null CV, since $r \odot r$ is an OCT, it follows that any integral manifold of $r^\perp$ is a spherical submanifold. Hence Proposition 4.1 shows that any CT on M

induces one on any leaf of the foliation induced by $r^\perp$. The following proposition shows how to solve the problem described earlier in this more general context.

Proposition 7.11
Suppose L is a CT on M and r is a non-null CV. Let $E := r^\perp$, and $L_E := L|_E$. Then $\tilde{L} := r^2 L_E$ restricts to a CT on any integral manifold of E and it satisfies $\mathcal{L}_r \tilde{L} = 0$ on M where $\tilde{L}$ is in contravariant form. ♢

Proof The proof of this fact is a straightforward calculation. We first note that since r is a CV with conformal factor ϕ, we have that

$$\nabla_{(i} r_{j)} = \phi g_{ij}$$

Suppose $u, v \in \Gamma(E)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_r L_{ij})u^i v^j &= (\nabla_r L_{ij})u^i v^j + L_{ij}(\nabla_u r^i)v^j + L_{ij}(\nabla_v r^i)u^j \\ &= \alpha_{(i} r_{j)} u^i v^j + +2\phi L_{ij} u^i v^j \\ &= 2\phi L_{ij} u^i v^j\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_r L^{ij})u_i v_j &= \mathcal{L}_r(G^{ik} L_{kj} G^{lj})u_i v_j \\ &= -2\phi L_{ij} u^i v^j + (\mathcal{L}_r L_{ij})u^i v^j - 2\phi L_{ij} u^i v^j \\ &= -2\phi L_{ij} u^i v^j\end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_r(r^2 L^{ij}))u_i v_j &= r^2(\mathcal{L}_r L^{ij})u_i v_j + (\nabla_r r^2)L^{ij} u_i v_j \\ &= -2r^2\phi L^{ij} u_i v_j + 2r^2\phi L^{ij} u_i v_j \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Thus since $r^\flat$ is closed, we conclude that $\mathcal{L}_r \tilde{L} = 0$. Also, as we noted earlier, Proposition 4.1 implies that $\tilde{L}$ induces a CT on any integral manifold of E. ■

Remark 7.12
The above ansatz for $\tilde{L}$ was deduced by studying results obtained by Benenti in [Ben08]. Although one can also obtain $\tilde{L}$ by solving a certain differential equation. □

Returning to $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, let r be the dilatational vector field and $L = r^2 L_E$ as in the above proposition. Note that L_E is given in general by Eq. (2.18). Let G be the metric of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, then $R = G_E$ is the induced metric on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\frac{1}{r^2})$ and the above proposition shows

that $\mathcal{L}_r(r^2R) = 0$. Hence r^2R is the r-lift of the metric of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ (up to sign). Hence if $\mathrm{tr}(L)$ is obtained by using the metric of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, the lifted KBDT is given as follows:

$$K_s = (\mathrm{tr}(L)\frac{1}{r^2})(r^2R) - L = \mathrm{tr}(L)R - L$$

which is the KBDT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ embedded in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Also note that it follows from proposition 4.3 in [RM14b] that K_s is a KT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Also, using Eq. (2.18), one can calculate K_s explicitly:

$$K_s = \mathrm{tr}(A)r^2R - \langle r, Ar\rangle\, G - r^2A + 2Ar \odot r$$

Note that since the term $\mathrm{tr}(A)r^2R$ is a multiple of the metric of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$, that term can be removed. We summarize our results in the following statement:

Proposition 7.13 (Spherical KBD equation)
Suppose $V \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ is a potential in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ which satisfies the KBD equation with $r \odot r$. Let L be a CT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ with parameter matrix A. Then V satisfies the KBD equation induced by L in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ iff it satisfies the spherical KBD equation (Eq. (7.13)) with L in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. ♢

7.4 In pseudo-Euclidean space

We show how to execute the BEKM separation algorithm in pseudo-Euclidean space. Fix a non-trivial solution L of the KBD equation in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. First apply the classification given by Theorem 2.11 to L. We assume that L is in one of the canonical forms listed in that theorem. If L is a Cartesian CT then the analysis is straightforward, see Section 7.3.1 for example. So we now assume L is non-degenerate and each generalized eigenspace of A_c has at most one proper generalized eigenvector[9].

First if A_c has no multidimensional (real) eigenspaces, then it is not reducible by Theorem 6.14. Hence one obtains separable coordinates for the natural Hamiltonian on the subset where L is an ICT.

Now suppose A_c has multidimensional (real) eigenspaces $W_1, \ldots, W_k$. It is shown in Eq. (6.34) that one can obtain data $(\bar{p}; \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k} V_i; a_1, ..., a_k)$ which determines a warped product decomposition $\psi : N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ in canonical form. Note that ψ decomposes the KBDT, K, associated with L. We now work with K.

We consider a somewhat more general situation in order to incorporate the spherical case later. Suppose K is an orthogonal KT in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ which is decomposed by the warped product ψ just constructed. Furthermore assume that each N_i corresponds to a distinct eigenspace of K. Now we show how to apply the BEKM separation algorithm on the spheres N_i by working only in a pseudo-Euclidean space.

[9] It was proven that we lose no generality with this assumption in Euclidean or Minkowski space.

Case 1 N_i is a non-null sphere, i.e. $a_i^2 \neq 0$

Let $W_{i\perp} := W_i^\perp$ and $c_i := \bar{p} - \frac{a_i}{\kappa_i}$. Define $\phi : W_{i\perp} \times W_i \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ to be the standard product decomposition. Embed W_i in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ as follows:

$$\tau_i : \begin{cases} W_i & \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu \\ p_i & \mapsto \phi(c_i, p_i) = c_i + p_i \end{cases}$$

Note that $N_i = \tau_i(W_i(\kappa_i))$. Let r_i be the dilatational vector field in W_i. By Corollary 6.8 and Proposition 5.2 in [RM14b], it follows that $\tau_i^* V$ satisfies the KBD equation with $r_i \odot r_i$. Hence by Proposition 7.13 it is necessary and sufficient to solve the spherical KBD equation on W_i with $\tau_i^* V$.

Case 2 N_i is a null sphere, i.e. $a_i^2 = 0$

Embed N_i in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ as follows (see Eq. (6.14)):

$$\tau_i : \begin{cases} N_i & \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu \\ p_i & \mapsto \psi(\bar{p}, \ldots, \bar{p}, p_i, \bar{p}, \ldots, \bar{p}) = p_i \end{cases}$$

In this case N_i is isometric to V_i which is a pseudo-Euclidean space. Hence the BEKM separation algorithm can be applied on V_i.

In the following section we show how to apply the BEKM separation algorithm on $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$.

7.4.1 In Spherical submanifolds of pseudo-Euclidean space

We show how to execute the BEKM separation algorithm in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. First we convert it to a problem in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. Let $\tilde{V}$ be a potential in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. Note that $\tilde{V}$ can be naturally lifted to a potential in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ satisfying $\mathcal{L}_r \tilde{V} = 0$ using an appropriate coordinate system. Then, one can check that the potential

$$V := \frac{\tilde{V}}{\kappa r^2}$$

in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ satisfies the KBD equation with $r \odot r$ in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ and equals $\tilde{V}$ for points in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$. So we lose no generality in working with a potential $V \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{E}^n_\nu)$ which satisfies the KBD equation with $r \odot r$.

Note that by Proposition 7.13, we only need to consider solutions of the spherical KBD equation in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$. So let L be a non-trivial solution of the spherical KBD equation (Eq. (7.13)). As in the pseudo-Euclidean case, we assume each generalized eigenspace of A has at most one proper generalized eigenvector. In order to execute the BEKM separation algorithm in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, we will need the following lemma:

Lemma 7.14

Let L_c be the central CT associated with L and $K_s = \mathrm{tr}(L)R - L$ be the KBDT associated with L. Suppose L_c is reducible and let $\psi : N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ be a warped product which decomposes L_c. Then ψ decomposes K_s. □

PROOF This follows from the proof of Proposition 6.17. In that proof we obtained the following equation:

$$R^* L_c = \psi_*(\tilde{R}^* \tilde{L}_c + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i G_i)$$

Then we have:

$$L = r^2 R^* L_c = \psi_*(\tilde{r}^2 \tilde{R}^* \tilde{L}_c + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \tilde{r}^2 G_i)$$

$$R = \psi_*(\tilde{R} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} G_i)$$

Hence the result follows. ∎

Now by Proposition 6.17 it follows that L is reducible iff L_c is reducible. Hence if L_c is not reducible, one obtains separable coordinates for the natural Hamiltonian on the subset (of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$) where L is an ICT.

If L_c is reducible, then by the above lemma, one can follow the arguments given in the previous section using the warped product decomposition induced by L_c which decomposes the KT K_s.

We now make some crucial remarks. Let $\psi : N_0 \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ be a warped product decomposition which decomposes L_c and let $\phi : N_0(\kappa) \times_{\rho_1} N_1 \cdots \times_{\rho_k} N_k \to \mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ be an induced warped product decomposition of $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu(\kappa)$ as in Theorem 6.15. First note that the separable coordinates are constructed using the warped product ϕ. Also because the spherical factors N_i (where $i > 0$) are simultaneously spherical factors of ψ and ϕ (see Theorem 6.15), we can work in the ambient space.

8 Conclusion

In this article we have given a classification of concircular tensors in spaces of constant curvature which permits us to apply them to the separation of variables problem as suggested in [RM14b]. We have obtained canonical forms for these tensors modulo the action of the isometry group in Sections 3 and 4, studied the webs described by irreducible concircular tensors in Section 5 and obtained warped product decompositions adapted to reducible orthogonal concircular tensors in Section 6. In Section 7 we have shown how to apply these results to solve some of the motivating problems listed in the introduction.

In our solution, there is one important problem that has been unresolved. In Minkowski space, M^n, with $n \geq 3$, it is still computationally difficult to find the subset on which a given concircular tensor (CT) is a Benenti tensor. This implies that

we still don't have a complete understanding of the separable coordinate systems for these spaces. However, when the space has Euclidean signature or $n = 2$, this is not a problem as is illustrated by Examples 5.11 and 5.12 respectively.

For future research, it would be interesting to see if concircular tensors can be applied to other types of separation such as non-orthogonal separation [Ben92b; Ben97; KM79], complex separation [DR07], and conformal separation [BCR05]. Note that the first two types of separation are of no interest in Euclidean space but they are in Minkowski space. In [BM13], a procedure is given to obtain the local canonical (normal) forms for CTs in pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. Hence the results developed therein may be of interest for the study of the first two types of separation.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our appreciation to Dong Eui Chang for his continued interest in this work. The research was supported in part by National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grants (D.E.C. and R.G.M.). The first author would like to thank Spiro Karigiannis for critically reading his thesis [Raj14], which contains the contents of this article.

Appendices

A Lexicographic ordering of complex numbers

Complex numbers can be given a natural lexicographic ordering (as in dictionaries) by using their Cartesian product structure:

Definition A.1
Suppose $\lambda = a + ib$ and $\omega = c + id$ are complex numbers. We write $\lambda < \omega$ if: $b < d$ or ($b = d$ and $a < c$) □

In the following we use "xor" to mean exclusive or and "or" has its standard meaning. Suppose $\lambda, \omega, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$, one can check that this ordering has the following properties:

trichotomy: $\lambda = \omega$ xor $\lambda < \omega$ xor $\omega < \lambda$

transitivity: If $\lambda < \omega$ and $\omega < \nu$ then $\lambda < \nu$

translation invariance: If $\lambda < \omega$ then $\lambda + \nu < \omega + \nu$

dilatation invariance: If $\lambda < \omega$ then $a\lambda < a\omega$

skew symmetry: If $\lambda < \omega$ then $-\omega < -\lambda$

Furthermore we note that if $\lambda, \omega \in \mathbb{R}$ then this ordering reduces to the natural ordering of real numbers.

References

[BCR00] S Benenti, C Chanu, and G. Rastelli. "The super-separability of the three-body inverse-square Calogero system". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 41.7 (2000), p. 4654. ISSN: 00222488. DOI: 10.1063/1.533369 (cit. on p. 70).

[BCR05] S Benenti, C Chanu, and G. Rastelli. "Variable-separation theory for the null HamiltonJacobi equation". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 46.4 (2005), p. 042901. ISSN: 00222488. DOI: 10.1063/1.1862325 (cit. on p. 80).

[Ben04] S Benenti. "Separability in Riemannian manifolds". In: *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A, to appear* d (2004) (cit. on pp. 2, 6).

[Ben05] S Benenti. "Special Symmetric Two-tensors, Equivalent Dynamical Systems, Cofactor and Bi-cofactor Systems". In: *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* 87.1-3 (May 2005), pp. 33–91. ISSN: 0167-8019. DOI: 10.1007/s10440-005-1138-9 (cit. on pp. 2, 6, 13).

[Ben08] S Benenti. "Algebraic Construction of the Quadratic First Integrals for a Special Class of Orthogonal Separable Systems". In: *Symmetries and Overdetermined Systems of Partial Differential Equations*. Ed. by Michael Eastwood and Willard Miller. Vol. 144. The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications. Springer New York, 2008, pp. 277–304. ISBN: 978-0-387-73831-4 (cit. on p. 76).

[Ben92a] S Benenti. "Inertia tensors and Stackel systems in the Euclidean spaces". In: *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Polit. Torino* 50 (1992), pp. 315–341 (cit. on pp. 2, 6).

[Ben92b] S Benenti. "Orthogonal separation of variables on manifolds with constant curvature". In: *Differential Geometry and its Applications* 2.4 (Dec. 1992), pp. 351–367. ISSN: 09262245. DOI: 10.1016/0926-2245(92)90002-5 (cit. on p. 80).

[Ben93] S Benenti. "Orthogonal separable dynamical systems". In: *Differential geometry and its applications (Opava, 1992 ...* (1993), pp. 163–184 (cit. on pp. 2, 75).

[Ben97] S Benenti. "Intrinsic characterization of the variable separation in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 38.12 (1997), p. 6578. ISSN: 00222488. DOI: 10.1063/1.532226 (cit. on p. 80).

[BM13] AV Bolsinov and V. S. Matveev. "Local normal forms for geodesically equivalent pseudo-Riemannian metrics". In: (Jan. 2013), p. 29. arXiv:1301.2492 (cit. on p. 80).

[Cal08] F Calogero. "Calogero-Moser system". In: *Scholarpedia* 3.8 (Aug. 2008), p. 7216. ISSN: 1941-6016. DOI: 10.4249/scholarpedia.7216 (cit. on p. 70).

[Cal69] F Calogero. "Solution of a Three-Body Problem in One Dimension". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 10.12 (1969), pp. 2191–2196. DOI: 10.1063/1.1664820 (cit. on p. 70).

[CDM06] C Chanu, L Degiovanni, and R G McLenaghan. "Geometrical classification of Killing tensors on bidimensional flat manifolds". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 47.7 (2006), p. 73506. DOI: 10.1063/1.2217649 (cit. on p. 3).

[CMS11] Caroline Cochran, R G McLenaghan, and Roman G. Smirnov. "Equivalence problem for the orthogonal webs on the 3-sphere". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 52.5 (2011), p. 053509. ISSN: 00222488. DOI: 10.1063/1.3578773 (cit. on p. 3).

[Cra03] M Crampin. "Conformal Killing tensors with vanishing torsion and the separation of variables in the HamiltonJacobi equation". In: *Differential Geometry and its Applications* 18.1 (Jan. 2003), pp. 87–102. ISSN: 0926-2245. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-2245(02)00140-7 (cit. on pp. 2, 6–8, 26, 33, 37).

[Cra07] M Crampin. "Concircular vector fields and special conformal Killing tensors". In: *Differential Geometric Methods in Mechanics and Field Theory Academia Press, Gent* (2007), pp. 57–70 (cit. on pp. 9, 13).

[Cra08] M Crampin. "Structural equations for a special class of conformal killing tensors of arbitrary valence". In: *Reports on Mathematical Physics* 62.2 (Oct. 2008), pp. 241–254. ISSN: 00344877. DOI: 10.1016/S0034-4877(08)80029-8 (cit. on p. 6).

[Die08] J Dieudonne. *Foundations of Modern Analysis*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, 2008. ISBN: 9781443724265 (cit. on p. 29).

[DR07] L Degiovanni and G. Rastelli. "Complex variables for separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation on real pseudo-Riemannian manifolds". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 48.7 (Oct. 2007), p. 073519. ISSN: 00222488. DOI: 10.1063/1.2747611. arXiv:0610012 [nlin] (cit. on p. 80).

[FIS03] S H Friedberg, A J Insel, and L E Spence. *Linear Algebra*. Pearson Education, 2003. ISBN: 9780131202665 (cit. on p. 60).

[GVY08] V S Gerdjikov, G Vilasi, and A B Yanovski. "Vector-Valued Differential Forms". In: *Integrable Hamiltonian Hierarchies*. Ed. by V S Gerdjikov, G Vilasi, and A B Yanovski. Vol. 748. Lecture Notes in Physics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 459–471. ISBN: 978-3-540-77053-4. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-77054-1_13 (cit. on p. 6).

[Hin98] F Hinterleitner. "Global properties of orthogonal separable coordinates for the Klein-Gordon equation in 2+ 1-dimensional flat space-time". In: *Sitzungsber. Abt. II* 5425 (1998), pp. 133–171 (cit. on p. 3).

[HM08] J. T. Horwood and R G McLenaghan. "Orthogonal separation of variables for the Hamilton-Jacobi and wave equations in three-dimensional Minkowski space". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 49.2 (2008), p. 23501. DOI: 10.1063/1.2823971 (cit. on p. 3).

[HMS05] J. T. Horwood, R G McLenaghan, and Roman G. Smirnov. "Invariant Classification of Orthogonally Separable Hamiltonian Systems in Euclidean Space". In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 259.3 (July 2005), pp. 679–709. ISSN: 0010-3616. DOI: 10.1007/s00220-005-1331-8. arXiv:0605023v1 [arXiv:math (cit. on p. 70).

[HMS09] J. T. Horwood, R G McLenaghan, and Roman G. Smirnov. "Hamilton-Jacobi theory in three-dimensional Minkowski space via Cartan geometry". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 50.5 (2009), p. 053507. ISSN: 00222488. DOI: 10.1063/1.3094719 (cit. on p. 3).

[Kal75] E. G. Kalnins. "On the Separation of Variables for the Laplace Equation \Delta \psi + K^2 \psi = 0 in Two- and Three-Dimensional Minkowski Space". In: *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 6.2 (Apr. 1975), pp. 340–374. ISSN: 0036-1410. DOI: 10.1137/0506033 (cit. on p. 3).

[Kal86] E. G. Kalnins. *Separation of variables for Riemannian spaces of constant curvature*. 1st ed. Longman Scientific & Technical, 1986 (cit. on pp. 2, 3, 65).

[Kaz98] JL Kazdan. *Matrices A(t) depending on a Parameter t*. 1998 (cit. on p. 29).

[KM76] E. G. Kalnins and Willard Miller. "Lie theory and separation of variables. 9. Orthogonal R-separable coordinate systems for the wave equation psi [sub tt] - Delta [sub 2] psi =0". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 17.3 (1976), pp. 331–355. DOI: 10.1063/1.522900 (cit. on p. 3).

[KM78] E. G. Kalnins and Willard Miller. "Lie theory and the wave equation in space-time. 4. The Klein-Gordon equation and the Poincare group". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 19.6 (1978), pp. 1233–1246. DOI: 10.1063/1.523819 (cit. on p. 3).

[KM79] E. G. Kalnins and Willard Miller. "Separable components for three-dimensional complex riemannian spaces". EN. In: *Journal of Differential Geometry* 14.2 (1979), pp. 221–236. ISSN: 0022-040X (cit. on p. 80).

[KM82] E. G. Kalnins and Willard Miller. *Separation of variables on n dimensional riemannian manifolds. 2. The n-dimensional hyperboloid Hn, Volume 2*. University of Waikato, 1982, p. 46 (cit. on p. 3).

[KM86] E. G. Kalnins and Willard Miller. "Separation of variables on n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds. I. The n-sphere S[sub n] and Euclidean n-space R[sub n]". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 27.7 (1986), pp. 1721–1736. DOI: 10.1063/1.527088 (cit. on p. 3).

[KMR84] E. G. Kalnins, Willard Miller, and G J Reid. "Separation of Variables for Complex Riemannian Spaces of Constant Curvature. I. Orthogonal Separable Coordinates for Snc and Enc". In: *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences* 394.1806 (July 1984), pp. 183–206. ISSN: 00804630. DOI: 10.2307/2397774 (cit. on pp. 3, 34, 36, 43).

[Lax07] P D Lax. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*. Linear algebra and its applications v. 10. Wiley, 2007. ISBN: 9780471751564 (cit. on p. 29).

[Lun03] Hans Lundmark. "Higher-Dimensional Integrable Newton Systems with Quadratic Integrals of Motion". In: *Studies in Applied Mathematics* 110.3 (Apr. 2003), pp. 257–296. ISSN: 0022-2526. DOI: 10.1111/1467-9590.00239 (cit. on p. 2).

[Mos11] J Moser. "Various Aspects of Integrable Hamiltonian Systems". In: *Dynamical Systems*. Ed. by C Marchioro. Vol. 78. C.I.M.E. Summer Schools. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 137–195. ISBN: 978-3-642-13928-4. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-13929-1_3 (cit. on p. 44).

[MS02a] R G McLenaghan and Roman G. Smirnov. "Intrinsic Characterizations of Orthogonal Separability for Natural Hamiltonians with Scalar Potentials on Pseudo-Riemannian Spaces". In: *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys* 9 (2002), pp. 140–151 (cit. on p. 3).

[MS02b] Raymond G Mclenaghan and Roman G Smirnov. "Intrinsic characterizations of orthogonal separability for natural Hamiltonians with scalar potentials on pseudo-Riemannian spaces". In: *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics* 9.sup1 (2002), pp. 140–151 (cit. on p. 3).

[MST04] Raymond G. McLenaghan, Roman G. Smirnov, and Dennis The. "An extension of the classical theory of algebraic invariants to pseudo-Riemannian geometry and Hamiltonian mechanics". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 45.3 (2004), p. 1079. ISSN: 00222488. DOI: 10.1063/1.1644902 (cit. on p. 3).

[Nol96] Stefan Nolker. "Isometric immersions of warped products". In: *Differential Geometry and its Applications* 6.1 (Mar. 1996), pp. 1–30. ISSN: 0926-2245. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0926-2245(96)00004-6 (cit. on pp. 14, 15, 49–52).

[O'N83] B. O'Neil. *Semi-Riemannian Geometry: With Applications to Relativity*. 1st ed. Pure and Applied Mathematics Series v. 103. Acad. Press, 1983, p. 468. ISBN: 9780125267403 (cit. on pp. 4, 5, 26, 27).

[Raj14] Krishan Rajaratnam. "Orthogonal Separation of The Hamilton-Jacobi Equation on Spaces of Constant Curvature". Master's thesis. University of Waterloo, 2014 (cit. on pp. 4, 5, 7, 11, 14, 15, 17, 20, 25, 26, 28, 29, 49–52, 58, 61, 80).

[RM14a] Krishan Rajaratnam and R G McLenaghan. "Classification of Hamilton-Jacobi separation in orthogonal coordinates with diagonal curvature". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 55.8 (2014), p. 083521. DOI: 10.1063/1.4893335 (cit. on pp. 2, 9).

[RM14b] Krishan Rajaratnam and R G McLenaghan. "Killing tensors, warped products and the orthogonal separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 55.1 (Jan. 2014), p. 013505. ISSN: 0022-2488. DOI: 10.1063/1.4861707 (cit. on pp. 2, 6–9, 48, 49, 65, 68, 69, 71, 73–75, 77–79).

[TCS05] G Thompson, M Crampin, and W Sarlet. "Structural equations for a special class of conformal Killing tensors". In: (2005) (cit. on pp. 9, 27).

[Toj07] Ruy Tojeiro. "Conformal immersions of warped products". In: *Geometriae Dedicata* 128.1 (2007), 17–31 LA –English. ISSN: 0046-5755. DOI: 10.1007/s10711-007-9179-z (cit. on p. 14).

[WW03] Claes Waksjo and Stefan Wojciechowski. "How to Find Separation Coordinates for the Hamilton-Jacobi Equation: A Criterion of Separability for Natural Hamiltonian Systems". In: *Mathematical Physics, Analysis and Geometry* 6.4 (Apr. 2003), pp. 301–348. ISSN: 1385-0172. DOI: 10.1023/B:MPAG.0000007238.37788.2c (cit. on pp. 2, 3, 70, 75).

[WW05] Stefan Wojciechowski and Claes Waksjo. "What an Effective Criterion of Separability says about the Calogero Type Systems". In: *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics* 12.Supplement 1 (2005), p. 535. ISSN: 1402-9251. DOI: 10.2991/jnmp.2005.12.Supplement1.43 (cit. on pp. 70, 74, 75).